arXiv:1611.03910v1 [math.AG] 11 Nov 2016

ASSOCIATED POINTS AND INTEGRAL CLOSURE OF MODULES

ANTONI RANGACHEV

Abstract. Let $X := \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be an affine Noetherian scheme, and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a pair of finitely generated R-modules. Suppose either that $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are locally free at the generic point of each irreducible component of X or that the modules are contained in a free R-module. Let $\mathcal{M}^n$ and $\mathcal{N}^n$ be the nth homogeneous components of the Rees algebras of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, and let $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ be the integral closure of $\mathcal{M}^n$ in $\mathcal{N}^n$. We prove that $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ and $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\mathcal{M}^n)$ are asymptotically stable, generalizing known results for the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is an ideal or where $\mathcal{N}$ is a free module. When X is universally catenary, we prove a generalization of a classical result due to McAdam and obtain a geometric classification of the points appearing in $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$. Notably, we show that if $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for some n, then x is the generic point of a codimension-one component of the closed sets in X defined by certain Fitting ideals of $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M}$ or x is a generic point of an irreducible set in X where the fiber dimension $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M}) \to X$ jumps. Our approach is novel and geometric. Also, we recover, strengthen, and prove a sort of converse of an important result of Kleiman and Thorup about integral dependence of modules.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Support and Fitting ideals 5
3. Bertini's theorem for extreme morphisms 8
4. The main result 9
5. Generalized Kleiman–Thorup Theorem 16
6. Finiteness and asymptotic stability of associated points 17
7. A converse to the main result 21
References 26

1. Introduction

Following Eisenbud, Huneke and Ulrich [EHU03], define the *Rees algebra* of $\mathcal{M}$ as the quotient

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M})/(\cap \mathcal{L}_g)$$

where the intersection is taken over all homomorphisms g from $\mathcal{M}$ to a free R-module $\mathcal{F}_g$ and $\mathcal{L}_g$ denotes the kernel of the induced map $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M}) \to \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{F}_g)$. In fact as shown in Prp. 1.3 [EHU03] $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ can be computed from any homomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ to a free R-module whose dual is surjective.

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a pair of finitely generated R-modules. Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are either locally free at the generic point of each irreducible component of X, or they are contained in

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A30, 13B21, 13B22, (14A15).

Key words and phrases. Rees algebra of a module, associated points, integral closure of modules, Bertini's theorem for extreme morphisms.

a free R-module. The inclusion of $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{N}$ induces a map from $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ to $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. Denote by $\mathcal{N}^n$ the nth homogeneous component of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ and by $\mathcal{M}^n$ the image of the nth homogeneous component of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. Finally, recall that the *integral closure* $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ of $\mathcal{M}^n$ in $\mathcal{N}^n$ is the module generated by those elements from $\mathcal{N}^n$ that satisfy an equation in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ of integral dependence over $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$.

Our main result, Thm. 4.4, is as follows. Assume X is local and universally catenary with closed point x_0. Assume the codimension of each irreducible component of the fiber of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ over x_0 is at least 2. Let h be a general element of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$. Then $h \notin \operatorname{z.div}(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n. Furthermore, we describe explicitly the genericity conditions on h. In Scts. 5-7 we apply Thm. 4.4 to two seemingly unrelated problems from commutative algebra and algebraic geometry.

First we analyze the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$. It is a hard problem to show that this set is finite, because the modules $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ may not form a finitely generated R-algebra. Thm. 4.4 yields a complete classification of the points x of X that appear in this set. They are of two types: generic points of codimension one components of subschemes defined by appropriate Fitting ideals of $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M}$, and generic points of the irreducible components of closed subsets of X where the fiber dimension of the structure morphism $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M}) \to X$ jumps. Then Chevalley's constructibility result implies that there are finitely many such x and hence $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ is a finite set.

More generally, without assuming that X is universally catenary, we prove algebraically that $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{M}^{n+1}})$ for each n, and that the sets $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ and $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\mathcal{M}^n)$ are asymptotically stable as special cases of more general results about finitely generated R-algebras. Working in complete generality though, doesn't yield a satisfactory geometric classification of the points appearing in $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ as in the case when X is universally catenary.

The analogous problems for ideals $\mathcal{I}$ were posed by Rees [R56]. First, Ratliff [Ratl76] proved that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(R/\mathcal{I}^n)$ is finite. Then Brodmann [Brod79] inspired by Ratliff's work, showed that $\operatorname{Ass}_X(R/\mathcal{I}^n)$ is asymptotically stable. If $\operatorname{ht}(\mathcal{I}) \geq 1$, it follows from Ratliff's Thm. 2.5 [Ratl76] and McAdam and Eakin's Prp. 7 [McE79] that $\operatorname{Ass}_X(R/\overline{\mathcal{I}^n})$ is asymptotically stable. Then Rees [R81] observed that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(R/\overline{\mathcal{I}^n})$ is finite in complete generality as a consequence of his valuation theorem. Finally, Ratliff [Ratl84] proved that $\operatorname{Ass}_X(R/\overline{\mathcal{I}^n}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}_X(R/\overline{\mathcal{I}^{n+1}})$ for each n without the assumption $\operatorname{ht}(\mathcal{I}) \geq 1$. These results were extended by Katz and Naude [KaN95] to the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in a free R-module $\mathcal{F}$ by reduction to the ideal case.

Questions about the asymptotic behavior of prime divisors arise naturally in various problems from commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, including going-down of prime ideals, catenary chain conjectures (see [McA83] and [Ratl83]), symbolic powers of ideals, Swanson's result on the asymptotics of the primary decomposition of the powers of an ideal [S97] and its applications to symbolic powers (cf. [ELS01]). More recently, the author [Ran16] has found applications to a specialization problem for certain local cohomology modules defined over a flat family of complex analytic spaces, and to characterizing the vanishing of certain restricted local volumes that are invariants of deformations.

Assume X is local with closed point x_0. McAdam [McA80] showed that if X is formally equidimensional and $x_0 \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(R/\overline{\mathcal{I}^n})$ for some n, then the fiber of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{I}))$ over x_0 is of minimal codimension. This result was generalized by Katz and Rice [KaR08] using valuation theory to the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in a free module $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{M}$ has the same rank as $\mathcal{F}$ at the generic point of each irreducible component of X. As an immediate consequence

of our main result we obtain the following far reaching generalization of these results: If $x_0 \in \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for some n, then the irreducible components of the fiber of $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ over x_0 are of codimension at most 1.

Of particular interest is the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in a free module $\mathcal{F}$. In this case we prove in Thm. 7.1 a converse to our main result without assuming that X is universally catenary: if the irreducible components of the fiber of $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ over x_0 are of codimension at most one, then $x_0 \in \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for all large n. As a corollary we prove in Thm. 7.5 a result which yields a complete classification of the points of $\mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for arbitrary affine Noetherian scheme X. This result generalizes results due to Burch [Bur68] for ideals, and Rees [R87], Katz and Rice (see Thm. 3.5.1 in [KaR08]) for the case where the rank of $\mathcal{M}$ at the generic point of each irreducible component of X is equal to $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F})$.

The Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ was essentially introduced by Zariski in App. IV to the second volume of his book with Samuel [ZS60]. It was studied in greater generality by Rees in [R87]. The Rees algebra of a module is a generalization of the blowup algebra of X with center an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset R$. Considerable work on Rees algebras (see [R89], [RK94] and [KT94]) was stimulated by the work of Gaffney ([Gaf92] and [Gaf96]) who needed a generalization of the blowup algebra for applications to equisingularity theory. In the geometric context $\mathcal{M}$ usually comes equipped with an embedding in a free module $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ is defined as the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{F})$ generated by the elements of $\mathcal{M}$. Although this definition is satisfactory in many situations, it has the disadvantage that it is neither functorial nor intrinsic. This issue was resolved in [EHU03]. Furthermore, Eisenbud, Huneke and Ulrich show that their definition can be used to address questions related to integral dependence of modules.

As another application of our main result, we recover, strengthen, and prove a sort of converse to an important result due to Kleiman and Thorup about integral dependence of modules. Assuming that X is equidimensional and universally catenary, they show (see [KT94] for the original proof and [KT01] for a much shorter and more elementary proof) that the inverse image in $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ of the locus in X where $\mathcal{N}$ is not integral over $\mathcal{M}$ is of codimension one. Their result has found numerous applications to equisingularity theory (c.f. [GK99], [Gaf08], and [GR16]). It is used as a crucial step in establishing that if a given equisingularity condition holds generically, then it holds everywhere.

The original proof of Kleiman and Thorup involves an analysis of an intermediate object: the exceptional divisor of the blowup of $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}))$ with center the ideal $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. In our treatment, we work directly on $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$. In this sense, our approach is similar in spirit to that of Simis, Ulrich and Vasconcelos [SUV01], who analyze the integrality of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ over $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ by reducing it to a local problem at codimension one primes of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$. However, in contrast to [SUV01], [KT94] and [KT00], our approach allows us to work in a more general setting: we don't require X to be equidimensional, and we allow the ranks of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ to vary across the generic points of the irreducible components of X. Finally, we strengthen the Kleiman–Thorup theorem by showing that the inverse image in $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ of each irreducible component of the locus in X where $\mathcal{N}$ is not integral over $\mathcal{M}$ is of codimension one in an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$.

Many of the results in this paper are proved in greater generality: instead of working with Rees algebras of modules, we consider two finitely generated graded R-algebras $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$. In the discussion that follows the proof of our main result, we outline how one can derive Thm. 4.4 in that setting at the expense of losing the explicit description of the genericity conditions on h.

Here is a more technical overview of the contents. Recall X is local with closed point x_0. Set

$$\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}) := R \oplus \overline{\mathcal{M}} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{M}^2} \oplus \cdots .$$

The problem of determining whether a point x_0 of X appears in the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ is closely related to that of analyzing the kernel of the following map

$$\phi_h \colon \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})/h\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})/h\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$$

where h is an element from the ideal of x_0. For example, if x_0 is not an associated point of $\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ for n large enough, then $\operatorname{Ker}\phi_h$ consists of nilpotents only. Denote the subscheme of zeroes of h in X by H.

In Sct. 2, we prove that, for a generic choice of h, the components of the support of $\operatorname{Ker}\phi_h$ are properly contained in the components of H using Fitting ideals and prime avoidance.

In Sct. 3 we prove a Bertini-type theorem. Call a morphism *extreme* if it maps every irreducible component of the source to a closed point or to an irreducible component of the target. Let $f \colon P \to X$ be a proper extreme morphism between a scheme P and universally catenary local scheme X with closed point x_0. We prove that f is extreme on $f^{-1}H$ for a generic choice of $h \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$. The genericity condition is that H has to cut properly the irreducible components of the closed sets where the fiber dimension of f jumps. That there are finitely many such sets follows from Chevalley's constructibility result. Recently, Gaffney and the author [GR] found applications of Bertini's theorem for extreme morphisms to equisingularity problems.

In Sct. 4 we prove Thm. 4.4, the main result of our paper. Suppose X is a local, universally catenary Noetherian scheme with closed point x_0 and that the dimension of each component of the fiber of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ over x_0 is of codimension at least 2. Then $\operatorname{Ker}\phi_h$ vanishes for a generic choice of h as specified in sections 2 and 3.

To prove Thm. 4.4, we prove two technical lemmas. First Lem. 4.3 allows us to replace R, $\mathcal{M}^n$ and $\mathcal{N}^n$ with their respective completions along the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$, because the associated points of $\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ and the geometric hypothesis on $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ behave well with passage to the completion. Because R is complete local ring, R is Nagata (also known as universally Japanese or pseudo-geometric). But $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ is of finite type over R. So $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ is Nagata too. Then using Lem. 4.2, we pass to the reduced structures. Because $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ is reduced, $\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})$ is then finite over $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$.

Next, we apply Bertini's theorem for extreme morphisms to $P := \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}))$ and the structure morphism $f \colon P \to X$. The genericity conditions on H ensure that the irreducible components of $f^{-1}H$ surject either onto x_0 or onto the irreducible components of H. The hypothesis on the codimension of the irreducible components of the fiber of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ over x_0 transfer to those of the irreducible components of P over x_0 because P has finite fibers over $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$. But the hypothesis on the codimension of the irreducible components of the fiber of P over x_0 guarantee that $f^{-1}H$ does not have components mapping to x_0. In other words, each irreducible component of $f^{-1}H$ surjects onto an irreducible component of H. As shown in Sct. 2, our choice of h guarantees that the components of the support of $\operatorname{Ker}\phi_h$, viewed as an $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$-module, are properly contained in the irreducible components of H. But $\operatorname{Ker}\phi_h$ is also an ideal in $\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})/h\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})$. Thus the closure of an associated point of $\operatorname{Ker}\phi_h$ is an embedded component of $f^{-1}H$. However, $\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})$ is integrally closed in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. So, using the Determinatal Trick Lemma, we show that $f^{-1}H$ does not have embedded components. Hence $\operatorname{Ker}\phi_h$ vanishes. In particular, $x_0 \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for all n.

We apply Thm. 4.4 in a number of situations. First, in Sct. 5 we strengthen and generalize the result of Kleiman and Thorup about the integral dependence of the modules $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$.

Second, in Sct. 6 we apply Thm. 4.4 and Chevalley's constructibility result to obtain in Thm. 6.7 an explicit geometric classification of the points in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ when X is universally catenary.

We prove a number of general results about finitely generated R-algebras that allow us to show that $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\mathcal{M}^n)$ and $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ are asymptotically stable. Notably, we derive these results from their more general counterparts about standard graded algebras: in Prp. 6.1 and Prp. 6.3 we show that if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ are standard graded R-algebras, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ is finite and the sequence $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ is asymptotically stable. Moreover, under mild assumptions on $\mathcal{B}$ we show in Thm. 6.5 that $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}})$ for each n, which generalizes results of Ratliff (see Thm. 2.4 in [Ratl84]) in the ideal case and Katz and Naude (see Thm. 2.1 in [KaN95]) in the case when $\mathcal{N}$ is free. We also show that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ is finite by reducing to the case of an ideal following Katz and Naude [KaN95].

In Sct. 7, we prove a fairly general converse Thm. 7.1 to Thm. 4.4. Suppose that X is local with closed point x_0. Assume that $\dim X \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{N}$ is free and $\operatorname{Ker} \phi_h$ consists of nilpotents only, then each irreducible component of the fiber of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ over x_0 is of codimension at least 2. We apply this result and the result about the asymptotic stability of $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ in Cor. 7.4 to derive a sort of converse to the Kleiman–Thorup result.

Finally, assume that $\mathcal{N}$ is a free module $\mathcal{F}$ and that $\mathcal{M}$ is locally free at the the generic point of each irreducible component of X. Our Thm. 7.5, coupled with Cor. 4.5, provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a point x from X to be in $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$. This generalizes results by Burch [Bur68] for ideals, and Rees [R87], Katz and Rice [KaR08] for the special case where the rank of $\mathcal{M}$ at the generic point of each irreducible component of X is equal to $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F})$. We finish by showing that if $\mathcal{M}$ has rank e at the generic point of each irreducible component of X with $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}) > e$, then under some additional assumptions on $\mathcal{M}$, the set $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ consists entirely of the generic points of the irreducible components of X for each n. This situation occurs, for example, if we set $\mathcal{M}$ to be the Jacobian module of a class of cone singularities with deficient conormal spaces.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my advisors Terence Gaffney and Steven Kleiman for many helpful discussions. I would like to express my gratitude to Steven Kleiman for reading carefully earlier drafts of this paper and supplying me with numerous invaluable comments and suggestions for improving its content and exposition. Much of this work was done during my visit at the trimester program in algebraic geometry at IMPA in 2015. I would like to thank Carolina Araujo, Eduardo Esteves, and Ana-Maria Castravet for organizing the program, for their hospitality, and making it possible for me to attend. I was supported by NSF conference grant DMS-1502154 "Second Latin American School of Algebraic Geometry and Applications", NEU College of Science travel grant and a research summer fellowship awarded by the Department of Mathematics at NEU.

2. Support and Fitting ideals

Let X be a local Noetherian scheme with closed point x_0. Denote the irreducible components of X by $X_1, \ldots, X_r$. Denote by η_i the generic point of X_i. Suppose $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ are $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$-modules locally free of ranks e_i and p_i at η_i, respectively. Let S be the closed subscheme of X such that if $x \notin S$, then both $\mathcal{M}_x$ and $\mathcal{N}_x$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$-modules. Denote by Z_i be the subscheme of X defined by the ideal $\operatorname{Fitt}_{p_i-e_i}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M})$. Set Z to be the union of the Z_is. For $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ denote by H the subscheme of zeroes of h in X.

Let $\mathcal{N}^n$ be the nth homogeneous component of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ and let $\mathcal{M}^n$ be the image in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ of the nth homogeneous component of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume x_0 is not associated point of X and $\dim X_i \geq 2$ for some i. There exists $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ such that H does not contain any associated point of X and the irreducible components of H are not contained in those of Z and S. Furthermore, for each such h the components of*

$$\mathrm{Supp}_X((h\mathcal{N}^n \cap \mathcal{M}^n)/h\mathcal{M}^n) \tag{1}$$

are properly contained in the components of H for any n. Moreover, if X is reduced, we can replace $\mathcal{M}^n$ in (1) *by any submodule of $\mathcal{N}^n$ that agrees with $\mathcal{M}^n$ off S.*

Proof. Let η be the generic point of an irreducible component of X. To simplify notation assume $\mathcal{M}_\eta$ and $\mathcal{N}_\eta$ are free of ranks e and p respectively. First, we claim that Z does not contain η. To verify this it is enough to show that $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M} \otimes k(\eta)$ is a vector space of dimension $p - e$. Equivalently, we want to show that $\mathcal{M} \otimes k(\eta)$ injects into $\mathcal{N} \otimes k(\eta)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $\mathfrak{m}_\eta \mathcal{N}_\eta \cap \mathcal{M}_\eta = \mathfrak{m}_\eta \mathcal{M}_\eta$ where $\mathfrak{m}_\eta$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$.

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ be the generators of $\mathcal{N}_\eta$. An element f from $\mathfrak{m}_\eta \mathcal{N}_\eta$ can be written as

$$f = h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_p f_p \tag{2}$$

where h_i are elements from $\mathfrak{m}_\eta$.

Suppose f belongs to $\mathcal{M}_\eta$. Then we can write

$$f = x_1 m_1 + \cdots + x_e m_e \tag{3}$$

where x_i are elements from $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$ and m_i are the generators of $\mathcal{M}_\eta$.

Because $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$ is Artinian there exists a positive integer q such that $\mathfrak{m}_\eta^q \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{m}_\eta^{q+1} = 0$. Therefore, we can pick $\mathfrak{z}$ from $\mathfrak{m}_\eta^q$ such that $\mathfrak{z} \neq 0$.

Multiply both sides of (2) and (3) by $\mathfrak{z}$. Because $\mathfrak{z}h_i$ is in $\mathfrak{m}_\eta^{q+1}$, then $\mathfrak{z}h_i = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{z}f = 0$. Then (3) and the fact that $\mathcal{M}_\eta$ is free force $\mathfrak{z}x_i = 0$. This means that non of the x_i is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$ otherwise $\mathfrak{z} = 0$ contradicting our choice of $\mathfrak{z}$. Therefore, x_i is in $\mathfrak{m}_\eta$ for each i. Finally, (3) implies that f belongs to $\mathfrak{m}_\eta \mathcal{M}_\eta$ as desired.

By prime avoidance we can select h from the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ such that H cuts properly the irreducible components of X and those of S and Z of positive dimension, and h avoids the associated primes of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$. This choice of h along with the assumption $\dim X_i \geq 2$ for some i guarantees that the irreducible components of H are not contained in those of Z and S.

Let $x \notin S$ and $x \notin Z$. Denote by e and p the ranks of $\mathcal{M}_x$ and $\mathcal{N}_x$, respectively. Then by Prp. 1.3 from [EHU03] $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_x)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_x)$ are polynomial rings. Because $x \notin Z$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_x) \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_x)$ is an inclusion. Thus $\mathcal{M}_x^n$ and $\mathcal{N}_x^n$ are free for each n. Denote by $e(n)$ and $p(n)$ the ranks of $\mathcal{M}_x^n$ and $\mathcal{N}_x^n$, respectively. We claim that the radicals of $\mathrm{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{N}_x/\mathcal{M}_x)$ and $\mathrm{Fitt}_{v(n)-u(n)}(\mathcal{N}_x^n/\mathcal{M}_x^n)$ are the same for any n. Indeed, two ideals have the same radicals if and only if their varieties consist of the same points. Because the formation of Fitting ideals commutes with base change we can pass to residue fields of points and check the statement over a field (see the display at the bottom of p. 235 in [BV03]).

Fix n. Since the formation of Fitting ideals commutes with base change we have

$$\mathrm{Fitt}_{p(n)-e(n)}(\mathcal{N}^n/\mathcal{M}^n)\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \mathrm{Fitt}_{p(n)-e(n)}(\mathcal{N}_x^n/\mathcal{M}_x^n) \tag{4}$$

for any point $x \in X$.

Note that $h\mathcal{N}^n \cap \mathcal{M}^n = h\mathcal{M}^n$ off H. Therefore, to prove that the components of (1) are properly contained in the components of H it is enough to show that if x is a generic point of an irreducible component of H, then $(h\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M})/h\mathcal{M}$ is not supported at x. Fix such a x. Because $x \notin S$ and $x \notin Z$, we can assume that $\mathcal{N}_x^n$ and $\mathcal{M}_x^n$ are free of ranks $p(n)$ and $e(n)$.

Since $x \notin Z$, then $\operatorname{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{N}_x/\mathcal{M}_x) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. But as we saw the ideals

$$\operatorname{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{N}_x/\mathcal{M}_x) \text{ and } \operatorname{Fitt}_{p(n)-e(n)}(\mathcal{N}_x^n/\mathcal{M}_x^n)$$

have the same radicals. Thus, $\operatorname{Fitt}_{p(n)-e(n)}(\mathcal{N}_x^n/\mathcal{M}_x^n) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for each n. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{N}_x^n/\mathcal{M}_x^n \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^{p(n)-e(n)}.$$

If there exists $f \in \mathcal{N}_x^n$ such that $hf \in \mathcal{M}_x^n$, then owing to the isomorphism above and the assumption that H does not contain associated points of X, we get $f \in \mathcal{M}_x^n$ which implies $hf \in h\mathcal{M}_x^n$. Finally, for the second part of the statement observe that in our treatment above we work locally at a point $x \notin S$. Moreover, if X is reduced, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_x) \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_x)$ is an inclusion. In particular, for each n the modules $\mathcal{M}_x^n$ and $\mathcal{N}_x^n$ are $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$-free of ranks $e(n)$ and $p(n)$, respectively. So, if X is reduced it does not make any difference if replace $\mathcal{M}^n$ with any other submodule of $\mathcal{N}^n$ locally equal to $\mathcal{M}^n$ off S. □

We can be a bit more precise about the support in (1) if we can choose H so that it does not contain an associated point of X and Z does not contain an associated point of H. For instance, if X is (S_2) scheme, then we can always select H satisfying the above hypothesis. Now suppose we can make such a choice for H. We claim that

$$\operatorname{Supp}_X((h\mathcal{N}^n \cap \mathcal{M}^n)/h\mathcal{M}^n) \subset H \cap S. \tag{5}$$

Indeed, let x be a point from H with $x \notin S$. Suppose there exists $f \in \mathcal{N}_x^n$ such that $hf \in \mathcal{M}_x^n$. Because $x \notin S$, we can assume that $\mathcal{M}_x^n$ and $\mathcal{N}_x^n$ are free of ranks $e(n)$ and $p(n)$ respectively. There exist $x_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{e(n)} x_i m_i = hf \tag{6}$$

where $\langle m_1, \ldots, m_{e(n)} \rangle$ is a basis for $\mathcal{M}_x^n$. Write each m_i in the basis for $\mathcal{N}_x^n$ so that (6) becomes a system of $p(n)$ equations in $e(n)$ unknowns. By Cramer's rule for each i and each minor $m_{e(n)\times e(n)}$ of the corresponding matrix associated with the system (6) we have

$$m_{e(n)\times e(n)} x_i = h z_i \tag{7}$$

where $m_{e(n)\times e(n)}$ is any minor of $\operatorname{Fitt}_{p(n)-e(n)}(\mathcal{N}_x^n/\mathcal{M}_x^n)$ and the $z_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ depend on $m_{e(n)\times e(n)}$ and f.

By prime avoidance we can find $w \in \operatorname{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{N}_x/\mathcal{M}_x)$ that avoids the associated primes of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/h\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and hence those of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ because $h \notin \operatorname{z.div}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. Recall that because $x \notin S$, for each n the radicals of $\operatorname{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{N}_x/\mathcal{M}_x)$ and $\operatorname{Fitt}_{p(n)-e(n)}(\mathcal{N}_x^n/\mathcal{M}_x^n)$ are the same. Hence, for each n there exists $w_n \in \operatorname{Fitt}_{p(n)-e(n)}(\mathcal{N}_x^n/\mathcal{M}_x^n)$ such that w_n avoids the associated primes of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/h\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.

Write w_n in terms of the generators $m_{e(n)\times e(n)}$ of $\operatorname{Fitt}_{p(n)-e(n)}(\mathcal{N}_x^n/\mathcal{M}_x^n)$. So by (7) we can write

$$w_n x_i = h z_i'$$

for each x_i, where $z_i' \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. However, $w_n \notin \operatorname{z.div}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/h\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. Thus

$$x_i = h z_i''$$

for each i with $z_i'' \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Substitute the last equations for x_i back in (6). Then $hf \in h\mathcal{M}_x^n$. Thus $h\mathcal{N}^n \cap \mathcal{M}^n = h\mathcal{M}^n$ at x which proves (5).

3. Bertini's theorem for extreme morphisms

Assume X is Noetherian universally catenary local scheme with closed point x_0. Denote by $X_1, \dots, X_r$ the irreducible components of X. Let $f\colon P \to X$ be a proper morphism. For any closed set V in P denote by V_{vert} the union of the irreducible components of V that are mapped to x_0, and by V_{hor} the union of those irreducible components that surject onto irreducible components of $f(V)$. Call the components of V_{vert} *vertical* and those of V_{hor} *horizontal*. Call f *extreme* on V if f maps each irreducible component of V either onto x_0 or onto an irreducible component of $f(V)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ the ideal of x_0 in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$. For $h \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ denote by H the subscheme of zeroes of h in X.

For each X_i denote by P_i the union of components of P that map to X_i. Denote by f_i the restriction of f to P_i. For each integer $k \geq 0$, set

$$S_i(k) := \{x \in X_i \mid \dim f_i^{-1}x \geq k\}. \tag{8}$$

Lemma 3.1. *For each i the sets $S_i(k)$ are closed and nonempty for finitely many k. Let I be an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ that avoids the minimal primes of each $S_i(k)$ of positive dimension. Then there exists $h \in I$ such that H cuts properly the components of all $S_i(k)$ of positive dimension.*

Proof. Each $S_i(k)$ is closed in X because f and hence f_i is proper (see the material in Chevalley's Thm. 13.1.3 and Cor. 13.1.5 in [EGAIV]). Note that there are finitely many nonempty $S_i(k)$ because P is of finite type over a Noetherian scheme and hence Noetherian. By prime avoidance we can choose h from I such that h avoids the minimal primes of $S_i(k)$. □

The main result of this section is a Bertini's theorem for extreme morphisms.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume $P_{\text{hor}} \cap P_i$ is equidimensional for each i and assume $f\colon P \to X$ is a surjective and extreme morphism on P. Let $h \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ such that H cuts properly the irreducible components of positive dimension of $S_i(k)$ and $X_i \cap X_j$. Then f is surjective and extreme on $f^{-1}H$.*

Proof. Since f surjects P onto X by assumption and $f^{-1}H$ is a closed subscheme of P, it follows that f surjects $f^{-1}H$ on H.

Let W_1 be a component of $f^{-1}H$. If W_1 is contained in P_{vert}, then W_1 is vertical and we are done. So we can assume that $W_1 \subset P_{\text{hor}}$ and replace P by P_{hor}.

For an irreducible component X_i of X set $a_i := \dim P_i$ and $b_i := \dim X_i$. Set $c_i := a_i - b_i$. By assumption f_i is surjective and proper. Then by the dimension formula (see [Stks, Tag 02JX]) the dimension of the fiber of f_i over the generic point of X_i has dimension c_i. Consequently,

$$\dim f_i^{-1}S_i(k) \leq a_i - 1 \text{ for } k \geq c_i + 1$$

because the irreducible components of $f_i^{-1}S_i(k)$ are properly contained in those of P_i for $k \geq c_i + 1$. Next, the dimension formula applied for a component of $f_i^{-1}S_i(k)$ that maps onto a component of $S_i(k)$ yields

$$\dim S_i(k) \leq a_i - k - 1 \text{ for } k \geq c_i + 1. \tag{9}$$

Let p_1 be a closed point in an irreducible component Q_1 of P_1. Then $f_1(p_1) = x_0$ because f_1 is closed and x_0 is the only closed point in X_1. We want to show that $\dim Q_1 = \dim \mathcal{O}_{Q_1,p_1}$.

Denote by $k(p_1)$ and $k(x_0)$ the residue fields of p_1 and x_0, and denote by $R(Q_1)$ and $R(X_1)$ the function fields of Q_1 and P_1. Because X is universally catenary, so is X_1. The dimension formula ([Stks, Tag 02JU]) yields

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_{Q_1,p_1} + \operatorname{tr.deg}_{k(x_0)} k(p_1) = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X_1,x_0} + \operatorname{tr.deg}_{R(X_1)} R(Q_1). \tag{10}$$

By the Weak Nullstellensatz, $k(\mathfrak{m}_{p_1})$ is algebraic over $k(\mathfrak{m}_{x_0})$. Hence $\operatorname{tr.deg}_{k(x_0)} k(p_1) = 0$. Also, because f_1 is closed, then $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X_1,x_0} + \operatorname{tr.deg}_{R(X_1)} R(Q_1) = \dim P_1$ by [Stks, Tag 02JX]. Finally, we get $\dim \mathcal{O}_{Q_1,p_1} = \dim P_1$ as desired.

By Lem. 3.1 we can choose $h \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ that avoids the minimal primes $\mathcal{O}_{S_i(k),x_0}$ for each $S_i(k)$ of positive dimension. In particular, this choice of h guarantees that each irreducible component of $H \cap X_i$ is an irreducible component of H, because $S_i(c_i) = X_i$ and H cuts properly the irreducible components of $X_i \cap X_j$ of positive dimension. Let W_1 be an irreducible component of $f^{-1}H$. Without lost of generality assume W_1 lies in an irreducible component Q_1 of P_1.

Let p_1 be a closed point of W_1 with $\dim W_1 = \dim \mathcal{O}_{W_1,p_1}$. Consider the ring map $f_1^\sharp \colon \mathcal{O}_{X_1,x_0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Q_1,p_1}$. Since h avoids the minimal primes of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$, then its image in $\mathcal{O}_{X_1,x_0}$ is nonzero. Also, $f_1^\sharp(h)$ is nonzero because by hypothesis f_1 maps P_1 onto X_1. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{W_1}$ the ideal of W_1 in $\mathcal{O}_{Q_1,p_1}$. By assumption $\mathcal{I}_{W_1}$ is a minimal prime of $f_1^\sharp(h)$. Then by Krull's principal ideal theorem $\operatorname{ht}(\mathcal{I}_{W_1}) = 1$. Since f is of finite type, then so is f_1. Because X_1 is universally catenary, then $\mathcal{O}_{Q_1,p_1}$ is catenary. But $\dim \mathcal{O}_{Q_1,p_1} = a_1$ as shown above. Thus $\operatorname{ht}(\mathcal{I}_{W_1}) + \dim W_1 = a_1$. Hence $\dim W_1 = a_1 - 1$.

Assume that the generic fiber of f over $f(W_1)$ is of dimension k. Then the dimension formula implies that $\dim f(W_1) = a_1 - k - 1$.

Suppose $k = c_1$. Then $f(W_1) = b_1 - 1$. As $f(W_1)$ is irreducible closed set contained in the closed set $H \cap X_1$ of dimension $b_1 - 1$, then $f(W_1)$ must be a component of $H \cap X_1$ and hence of H. So, W_1 is a horizontal component of $f^{-1}(H)$.

Suppose $k \geq c_1 + 1$. By assumption $f(W_1) \subset S_1(k)$. Assume $\dim S_1(k) > 0$. By construction H intersects properly the irreducible components of $S_1(k)$. Therefore, $f(W_1)$ is strictly contained in an irreducible component of $S_1(k)$ and hence $\dim f(W_1) < \dim S_1(k)$, i.e. we must have

$$\dim S_1(k) > a_1 - k - 1$$

reaching a contradiction with (9). Therefore, $\dim S_1(k) = 0$, so $S_1(k) = x_0$, and W_1 is a vertical component of $f^{-1}H$. □

Remark 3.3. Assume X is essentially of finite type over a field $\Bbbk$. In Lem. 3.1 fix a minimal generating set $(i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ for I. Because $\Bbbk$ is a field we can associate in a unique way each $\Bbbk$-linear combination of the generators of I with a point in $\mathbb{A}^n_\Bbbk$. If in addition $\Bbbk$ is infinite, then there exists a Zariski open dense subset U_h in $\mathbb{A}^n_\Bbbk$ of elements h such that H cuts properly the components of each $S(i)$ of positive dimension.

4. The main result

Let $X := \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be an affine Noetherian scheme. Denote by $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ its irreducible components and for each i denote by η_i the generic point of X_i. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a pair of R-modules. Assume either

(1) $\mathcal{M}_{\eta_i}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\eta_i}$ are free $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_i}$-modules of ranks e_i and p_i, or
(2) $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are contained in a free R-module $\mathcal{F}$.

At the end of this section we explain the necessity of these hypothesis.

Assume $\mathcal{N}$ is contained in a free module R-module $\mathcal{F}$. Although the canonical map $\mathcal{N}^{**} \to \mathcal{F}$ needn't be injective, nevertheless the inclusion $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{F}$ factors through the canonical surjection from $\mathcal{N}$ to its image in $\mathcal{N}^{**}$, termed the *torsionless quotient* of $\mathcal{N}$ in [EHU03], and so this surjection is an isomorphism. Denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N})$ the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{F})$ generated by the elements of $\mathcal{N}$. Then by Prp. 1.8 [EHU03] $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathrm{red}}$ are the same. The same applies for $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{red}}$. Denote by e_i and p_i the ranks of the images of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ in $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathrm{red}}}$ at the generic point of $(X_i)_{\mathrm{red}}$, respectively.

Set $B := \mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$. Denote by b the structure morphism from B to X. Let B_i be the union of irreducible components of B that lie over X_i and denote by b_i the restriction of b to B_i. Finally, let x_0 be a point in X. Denote by $D_1, \ldots, D_q$ the irreducible components of $b^{-1}x_0$.

Denote by $\mathrm{codim}(D_j, B_i)$ the Krull dimension of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{B_i,\eta}$ where η is the generic point of D_j, and by $\mathrm{codim}\, D_j$ the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{O}_{B,\eta}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The following hold:*

(1) *Each B_i is irreducible and maps surjectively onto X_i.*
(2) *The morphism b is proper and* $\dim B_i = \dim X_i + e_i - 1$.
(3) *If X is local scheme of positive dimension with closed point x_0, then*

$$\mathrm{codim}(D_j, B_i) \geq 1.$$

Additionally, if X is universally catenary, then

$$\mathrm{codim}(D_j, B_i) = \dim B_i - \dim D_j.$$

(4) *The Rees algebras $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and its image in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ have the same reduced structures. Each of the statements* (1), (2) *and* (3) *remains valid after replacing $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ with its image in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. Furthermore, after the replacement* $\mathrm{codim}\, D_j$ *remains the same.*

Proof. We follow the proof of Prp. 1.5 in [EHU03]. By Prp. 1.3 [EHU03] the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ is contained in a polynomial ring. Each associated prime of R is a contraction of an associated prime of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$. Moreover, each associated prime of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ is a contraction of an associated prime of the polynomial ring that contains it which in turn is an extension of an associated prime of R. So, we get a bijection between the associated primes of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and R that preserves inclusions. Hence each minimal prime of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ contracts to a minimal prime of R. Thus (1) holds.

Consider (2). Note b is projective, so proper. Pass to X_{red} and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{red}}$ if necessary. The dimension of the fiber of b_i over η_i is $e_i - 1$ because $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})_{\eta_i} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_{\eta_i})$ and by assumption $\mathcal{M}_{\eta_i}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{X_i,\eta_i}$-free of rank e_i. By (1) B_i is irreducible. Then the dimension formula (see Lem. 3.1 (ii) from [KT94]) yields $\dim B_i = \dim X_i + e_i - 1$ which proves (2).

For (3) notice that by (1) each component D_j of $b^{-1}(x_0)$ is contained properly in an irreducible component of B because x_0 is not an irreducible component of X. Therefore, $\mathrm{codim}(D_j, B_i) \geq 1$. The second part of the statement follows from an analogous computation to the one we did in (10) this time applied for B_i and the generic point of D_j.

Consider (4). In the proof of Prp. 2.1 we showed that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and its image in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ are isomorphic locally at the generic point of each irreducible component of X. From the assumptions imposed on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ in the beginning of the section, we see that the kernel of the homomorphism $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ vanishes at each η_i after possibly replacing X, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ with their reduced structures. Therefore, the kernel of this homomorphism is nilpotent and hence $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and its image in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ have the same reduced structures. Thus, (1), (2)

and (3) remain valid after the replacement. Therefore, the fiber over x_0 of the Proj of the image of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ has the same reduced structure as $b^{-1}x_0$; hence, the codimension of D_j remains the same. □

Next, for each positive integer n let $\mathcal{M}^n$ be the image of the nth graded component of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. Define the integral closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ of $\mathcal{M}^n$ in $\mathcal{N}^n$ to be the submodule of $\mathcal{N}^n$ that consists of all elements g satisfying an equation of integral dependence

$$g^u + m_1 g^{u-1} + \cdots + m_u = 0$$

in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ where u is a positive integer and each m_i belongs to an appropriate homogeneous component of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$.

The integral closure of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ generated by all elements that satisfy an equation of integral dependence over $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$. In general, the integral closure of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ may not be a Noetherian ring. To ensure that this is not the case, in our main result we will reduce to the case when X is Nagata scheme. Furthermore, we will need to show that we can replace X and all the algebras involved with their reductions. The following lemma enables us to do so. It describes the connection between associated points, integral closure and reductions.

Assume $\mathcal{R}$ is commutative ring. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be graded $\mathcal{R}$-algebras. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n$ their nth graded pieces, respectively. Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ be the integral closure of $\mathcal{A}_n$ in $\mathcal{B}_n$. Denote by $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\text{red}}$, $(\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})_{\text{red}}$ and $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{\text{red}}$ the images of $\mathcal{A}_n$, $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ and $\mathcal{B}_n$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\text{red}}$, respectively.

Lemma 4.2. *The following hold:*

(1) $(\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})_{\text{red}} = \overline{(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\text{red}}}$.

(2) Suppose $h \in \mathcal{R}$. *Then*

$$h \notin \text{z.div}(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}) \text{ if and only if } h \notin \text{z.div}((\mathcal{B}_n)_{\text{red}}/(\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})_{\text{red}}).$$

(3) Suppose $\mathcal{R}$ *is local Noetherian ring with maximal ideal* $\mathfrak{m}$. *Then*

$$\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass}_R(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}) \text{ if and only if } \mathfrak{m}_{\text{red}} \notin \text{Ass}_{R_{\text{red}}}((\mathcal{B}_n)_{\text{red}}/(\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})_{\text{red}}).$$

Proof. Consider (1). By persistence of integral closure $(\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})_{\text{red}} \subset \overline{(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\text{red}}}$. Let b_n be an element from $\mathcal{B}_n$ whose image in $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{\text{red}}$ is in $\overline{(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\text{red}}}$. Then

$$b_n^l + a_1 b_n^{l-1} + \cdots + a_l = b$$

where l is a positive integer, each a_i is from $\mathcal{A}$ and b is a nilpotent element from $\mathcal{B}$, i.e. $b^u = 0$ for some positive integer u. Raising the last equation to the power u gives an equation of integral dependence for b_n. Hence $b_n \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$. Thus $\overline{(\mathcal{A}_n)_{\text{red}}} \subset (\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})_{\text{red}}$ which proves the equality in (1).

Consider (2). Suppose $hb_n \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ for some $b_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with $b_n \notin \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$. Because $b_n \notin \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$, then b_n is not nilpotent in $\mathcal{B}$. Hence its image $\widetilde{b_n}$ in $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{\text{red}}$ is nonzero. Also, $\widetilde{b_n}$ is not in $(\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})_{\text{red}}$ because otherwise $b_n = a + b$ where $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ and b is nilpotent in $\mathcal{B}$, and hence b_n would be integral over $\mathcal{A}_n$ which is impossible. Finally, we get that $h\widetilde{b_n} \in (\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})_{\text{red}}$. Conversely, assume $h \in \text{z.div}((\mathcal{B}_n)_{\text{red}}/(\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})_{\text{red}})$. Then there exist $b_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with $b_n \notin \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$, $a \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ and a nilpotent b from $\mathcal{B}$ such that $hb_n = a + b$. But a and b are integral over $\mathcal{A}$. Then so is hb_n. Hence $hb_n \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$, i.e. $h \in \text{z.div}((\mathcal{B}_n)/(\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}))$.

Consider (3). The statement follows from (2) applied for each of the generators of $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{red}}$ along with the fact that if h is nilpotent in $\mathcal{R}$, then $h\mathcal{B}_n \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ for each n. □

The next lemma is key: it allows us to make the transition between the category of arbitrary local Noetherian schemes and that of local universally catenary Nagata schemes. The important observation is that associated points and most geometric properties of the objects considered behave well under completion. For one thing, a complete local ring is universally catenary and Nagata. In particular, its integral closure in a reduced ring extension is module finite. Also, the associated points of the quotients $\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ can be detected from those of the corresponding completions.

Let X be a local Noetherian scheme, and x_0 its closed point. Denote by $\widehat{X}$ the completion of X along x_0, and its closed point by $\widehat{x_0}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{x_0}}$ the maximal ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X,x_0}}$. For each n, denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}^n}$ the corresponding completions of $\mathcal{M}^n$ and $\mathcal{N}^n$. Finally, denote by $\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}}$ the integral closure of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{N}^n}$.

Set $\widehat{B} := \mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}))$. Denote by $\widehat{b}$ the structure morphism from $\widehat{B}$ to $\widehat{X}$, and denote by $\widehat{B}_i$ the union of irreducible components of $\widehat{B}$ that surject onto $\widehat{X_i}$.

Lemma 4.3. *The following hold:*

1. *Let h be an element from $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$. If $h \notin \mathrm{z.div}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}^n}/\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}})$, then $h \notin \mathrm{z.div}(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$.*
2. *We have $\dim B_i = \dim \widehat{B}_i$. If X is universally catenary, then $\widehat{B}_i$ is equidimensional. Also, the fibers of $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}))$ and $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ over x_0 are the same. Furthermore, if X is universally catenary, then $\mathrm{codim}\, D_j$ in $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ is the same as $\mathrm{codim}\, D_j$ in $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}))$ for each $j = 1, \dots, r$.*

Proof. Consider (1). Identify $\mathcal{M}^n$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ and $\mathcal{N}^n$ with their images in $\widehat{\mathcal{N}^n}$. By faithful flatness we have

$$\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}} \cap \mathcal{N}^n = \overline{\mathcal{M}^n}. \tag{11}$$

Indeed, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} O_{\widehat{X,x_0}}$ is faithfully flat extension of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ because faithful flatness commutes with base change. Then (11) follows at once by Prp. 1.6.2 from [SH06] applied with $R = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$, $S = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} O_{\widehat{X,x_0}}$ and $I := \mathcal{M}^n\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. Next, suppose there exists $q \in \mathcal{N}^n$ such that $hq \in \overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ and $q \notin \overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$. Then $hq \in \overline{\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}}$ because by persistence of integral closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n} \subset \overline{\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}}$. But $h \notin \mathrm{z.div}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}^n}/\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}})$ by assumption. Therefore, $q \in \overline{\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}}$. By (11) it follows that $q \in \overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ which is contradiction.

Consider (2). Let $\widehat{\eta_i}$ be the generic point of an irreducible component of $\widehat{X_i}$. Because $\widehat{X_i} \to X_i$ is faithfully flat, then the completion morphism maps $\widehat{\eta_i}$ to the generic point η_i of an irreducible component X_i of X. We have the following product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})) & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows represent morphisms that surject irreducible components onto irreducible components and the right vertical arrow represents the completion morphisms that surjects each irreducible component of $\widehat{X}$ to an irreducible component of X. Thus the latter map carries each irreducible component of $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}))$ to an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$.

Because

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_i}^{e_i(n)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X},\widehat{\eta_i}} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X},\widehat{\eta_i}}^{e_i(n)} \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}_{\widehat{\eta_i}} = (\mathcal{M}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_i}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_i}} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X},\widehat{\eta_i}}$$

we get

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}_{\widehat{\eta_i}} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X},\widehat{\eta_i}}^{e_i(n)}.$$

where $e_i(n)$ is the rank of $\mathcal{M}^n$ at η_i. Applying Proposition 4.1 (2) with $\dim X_i = \dim \widehat{X}_i$ we get $\dim B_i = \dim \widehat{B}_i$. When X is universally catenary, then $\widehat{X_i}$ is equidimensional by Thm. 31.7 in [Mat87], so $\widehat{B}_i$ is equidimensional, too.

Next we claim that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n} = \widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}. \tag{12}$$

Indeed, by Prp. 1.3 in [EHU03], the formation of the Rees algebra commutes with flat base change; so $\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X,x_0}}$. Thus (12) holds.

Next, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}/\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{x_0}}\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n} = \widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}/\widehat{\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}\mathcal{M}^n} = \mathcal{M}^n/\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}\mathcal{M}^n$$

which proves that the fibers of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ and $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}))$ over x_0 and $\widehat{x_0}$ are the same.

Assume X is universally catenary and D_j is contained in B_i such that $\operatorname{codim}(D_j, B_i) = \operatorname{codim} D_j$. Then D_j is contained in $\widehat{B}_i$. But $\widehat{B}_i$ is equidimensional of the same dimension as B_i. Hence by Prp. 4.1 (3) the codimension of D_j in $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ is the same as the codimension of D_j in $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}))$. □

Note that if $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n} = \overline{\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}}$ were true, then the converse of (1) would follow at once. However, a necessary condition for the last identity to be valid is that X is analytically unramified (see Chp. 9 in [SH06]).

Finally, we would like to remark that the universally catenary assumption is needed to guarantee that $\widehat{X_i}$ is equidimensional which in turn insures that the codimension of D_j remains the same. Possibly, a weaker assumption might lead to the same conlcusion.

Before stating our main result let's fix some notation. Assume X is local with closed point x_0. As usual for each $h \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ denote by H the subscheme of zeroes of h in X. Denote by Z the union of the subschemes of X defined by the ideals $\operatorname{Fitt}_{p_i-e_i}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M})$. Let S be the closed subscheme of X such that if $x \notin S$, then $\mathcal{M}_x$ and $\mathcal{N}_x$ have ranks e_j and p_j for some j. If we work with the hypothesis that $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are contained in a free module $\mathcal{F}$, then replace X by X_{red} and $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ with their images $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{red}}}$, and define Z and S accordingly.

Recall that $B := \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$, b is the structure morphism $b\colon B \to X$, and $B_i := b^{-1}X_i$. Denote by b_i the restriction of b to B_i. Let $S_i(k)$ be the closed subschemes of X consisting of all points $x \in X_i$ such that $b_i^{-1}x \geq k$.

The main result of this section states that a generic element $h \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ is not a zero divisor of $\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ for all n provided that each D_j is not of maximal dimension. The results from the previous two sections will enable us to specify explicitly the genericity conditions on h. Moreover, as explained in Rmk. 3.3, if we assume that X is of finite type over an infinite field $\Bbbk$, then we can construct a Zariski open dense subset U_h in $\mathbb{A}_{\Bbbk}^N$ of elements h having this property, where $N := \dim_{\Bbbk}(\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}^2/\mathfrak{m}_{x_0})$.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose X is universally catenary. For each $j = 1, \ldots, q$ assume that*

$$\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 2.$$

Let $h \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ such that H cuts properly the irreducible components of positive dimension of Z and $S_i(k)$ for each k. Then $h \notin \text{z.div}(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n.

Proof. Suppose $\dim X = 0$. Then $\dim b^{-1}x_0 = \dim B$. Hence $\operatorname{codim} D_j = 0$ in B for each j which contradicts with our assumptions. Suppose $\dim X = 1$. Because h avoids the minimal primes of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$, then H cuts the irreducible components of B properly. Hence each irreducible component of $b^{-1}H$ is of codimension one in an irreducible component of B. On the other hand, $\dim b^{-1}H = \dim b^{-1}x_0$ because H is supported at x_0. Thus for each D_j we have $\operatorname{codim} D_j = 1$. Finally, we conclude that the assumption $\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 2$ implies $\dim X_i \geq 2$ for some i.

Replace $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ by its image in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. By Prp. 4.1 (4) our hypothesis remain intact. Let us fix some notation. Set

$$\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{M}} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{M}^2} \oplus \cdots .$$

Note that $\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})$ is the integral closure of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ (compare with Prp. 5.2.1 from [SH06]). The next part of the proof involves several reduction steps that will allow us to assume that X is local reduced Nagata universally catenary scheme.

First, complete X along x_0 and consider $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} O_{\widehat{X,x_0}}$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} O_{\widehat{X,x_0}}$. By Lem. 4.3 (2) and our assumptions we have $\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 2$ in $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}))$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, r$. By flatness the image of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}$ in $\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}})$ is the same as the completion of the image of $\mathcal{M}^n$ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. By Lem. 4.3 (1) it's enough to show that $h \notin \text{z.div}(\widehat{\mathcal{N}^n}/\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{M}^n}})$. Therefore, we can assume that X is complete local scheme and and hence local universally catenary Nagata scheme, and assume that $\mathcal{M}^n$ and $\mathcal{N}^n$ are complete with respect to x_0, too.

Next, by Lem. 4.2 we can replace X, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$, $\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ with their reduced structures. As $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ is of finite type over a Nagata ring, and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ is reduced, then $\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})$ is module-finite over $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ by [Stks, Tag 03GH].

Set $P := \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}))$. Denote by $b^{\dagger} : P \to B$ the finite surjective map induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})$. Set $f = b \circ b^{\dagger}$. For each irreducible component X_i of X set $P_i = f^{-1}X_i$. The restriction of $b^{\dagger}$ to P_i is a finite map that surjects P_i onto B_i. Hence $\dim P_i = \dim B_i$. Because B_i is equidimensional by Prp. 4.1 (2), so is P_i.

Let $D^{\dagger}$ be an irreducible component of $f^{-1}x_0$. Then $\dim D^{\dagger} = \dim b^{\dagger}(D^{\dagger})$ because $f^{-1}x_0 \to b^{-1}x_0$ is finite. Suppose $b^{\dagger}(D^{\dagger}) \subset B_i$ for some i such that $\operatorname{codim}(b^{\dagger}(D^{\dagger}), B_i) = \operatorname{codim} b^{\dagger}(D^{\dagger})$. Then $D^{\dagger} \subset P_i$. Because P_i and B_i are equidimensional of the same dimension we have

$$\operatorname{codim} D^{\dagger} \geq 2. \tag{13}$$

Select H as in Thm. 3.2 for $f \colon P \to X$. Then Thm. 3.2 implies that the horizontal components of $f^{-1}H$ surject onto those of H. But (13) implies that $f^{-1}H$ does not have vertical components. Hence all irreducible components of $f^{-1}H$ surject onto those of H.

Further restrict H so that the irreducible components of H are not contained in those of Z and S. The last we can do because $\dim X_i \geq 2$ for each i as we showed in the proof of Prp. 2.1.

Consider the map

$$\phi_h : \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})/h\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})/h\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Denote its kernel by I_{ϕ_h}. We identify

$$I_{\phi_h} = (h\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}) \cap \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}))/h\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M}). \tag{14}$$

Assume x is not in Z and S. Because the formation of integral closure and localization commute we have $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}_x = \overline{\mathcal{M}^n_x}$. By Prp. 1.3 [EHU03] $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})_x$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})_x$ are polynomial

rings (see the proof of Prp. 2.1). Because X is reduced and $\mathcal{M}_x$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{N}_x$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})_x$ is integrally closed in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})_x$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{M}_x^n} = \mathcal{M}_x^n$. Finally,

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}_x = \mathcal{M}_x^n. \tag{15}$$

Next, by (15) and Prp. 2.1 applied to $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ for each n, we obtain that each component of the support of I_{ϕ_h} in X as an $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$-module is properly contained in an irreducible component of H. Therefore, I_{ϕ_h} now viewed as $\mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}H}$-module, vanishes locally at the horizontal components of $f^{-1}H$ because the irreducible components of $f^{-1}H$ project in X onto those of H. However, as we already determined $f^{-1}H$ does not have vertical components. So, each component of the support of the $\mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}H}$-module I_{ϕ_h} is properly contained in an irreducible component of $f^{-1}H$. But I_{ϕ_h} is also an ideal in $\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})/h\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$. Thus, $\mathrm{Ass}_{f^{-1}H}(I_{\phi_h})$ consists of points whose closures are embedded components of $f^{-1}H$.

Let p be such a point in $f^{-1}H$ with ideal $\mathfrak{m}_p$ in $\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$. Then $\mathfrak{m}_p$ is associated prime to $h\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$. Thus we can write

$$\mathfrak{m}_p = (h\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M}) :_{\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})} y) \tag{16}$$

for some $y \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$ that maps to a nonzero element of I_{ϕ_h} under ϕ_h. Note that $y/h \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$, because $y \in h\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}) \cap \mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$. By (16) we have $(y/h)\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$.

Suppose $(y/h)\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathfrak{m}_p$. Because $\mathfrak{m}_p$ contains h, which is a nonzero divisor in $\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$, then $\mathfrak{m}_p$ is faithful $\mathcal{O}_P$-module. Then by the Determinantal Trick Lemma (see Lem. 2.1.8 from [SH06]) it follows that y/h is integral over $\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$. But $\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$ is integrally closed in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. Hence $y/h \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$, i.e. $y \in h\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$ which is contradiction with our choice of y.

Then $(y/h)\mathfrak{m}_p \not\subset \mathfrak{m}_p$. So, locally at p there exists $z \in \mathcal{O}_{P,p}$ such that $(y/h)z = 1$. Therefore, by (16) we get $\mathfrak{m}_p = (z)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{P,p}$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}_p$ is a minimal prime of $h\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$, or in other words $\overline{\{p\}}$ is an irreducible component of $f^{-1}H$. But this is impossible because by assumption $\overline{\{p\}}$ is an embedded component of $f^{-1}H$. Therefore, $I_{\phi_h} = 0$. But h is a nonzero divisor of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ because the latter is reduced and h avoids the minimal primes of X and hence those of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. Thus $h \notin \mathrm{z.div}(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n. □

The following immediate corollary of Thm. 4.4 is a far reaching generalization of results of McAdam [McA80] for ideals, Rees [R87], Katz and Rice [KaR08] for modules $\mathcal{M}$ contained in a free module $\mathcal{F}$ such that $e_i = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F})$ for each i.

Corollary 4.5. Assume X is a universally catenary local scheme with closed point x_0. If $x_0 \in \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for some n, then $\mathrm{codim}(D_j, B_i) \leq 1$ for each j and B_i that contains D_j.

The final part of the proof of Thm. 4.4 follows closely the argument used to show that there are no embedded primes in the primary decomposition of a principal ideal in a ring integrally closed in its total ring of fractions (compare with Prp. 4.1.1 from [SH06]).

In the setup of Thm. 4.4 assume X is normal and assume $\mathcal{N}$ is a free module $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F})$ is equal to the symmetric algebra of $\mathcal{F}$ which is integrally closed because it is a polynomial ring over an integrally closed ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{R}^\dagger(\mathcal{M})$ is the integral closure of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ in its total ring of quotients (compare with Prp. 5.2.4 in [SH06]). In particular, it satisfies Serre's condition S_2. In this case, we see easily that since P is S_2, then $f^{-1}H$ has no embedded components. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ass}_{f^{-1}H}(I_{\phi_h}) = \emptyset$, so again $I_{\phi_h} = 0$.

Finally, note that under the assumptions imposed on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ in the beginning of the section, we observed in Prp. 4.1 (4) that the kernel of the homomorphism $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ is nilpotent. This observation shows that the codimension hypothesis on D_j is preserved after replacing $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ with its image in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. Moreover, the $S_i(k)$ too remain unaffected after

the replacement. However, Z depends on the embedding $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and so does our choice of h. In general, without any hypothesis on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ one can construct examples with $\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 2$, but when we pass to the image of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ the codimension of the irreducible components of $b^{-1}x_0$ decreases to one.

One can get Thm. 4.4 if instead of Rees algebras we work with an inclusion $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ of standard graded finitely generated R-algebras. The only obstacle to extending our results to this setting is proving an analogue of Prp. 2.1. Here is how it can be done. By Thm. 6.1 select h such that H cuts properly the closures of the points from $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ which are of positive dimension. Furthermore, select h so that in addition to the usual assumptions $\widehat{H}$, the scheme of zeroes defined by the image of h in the reduction of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X,x_0}}$, cuts properly the components of $\operatorname{Supp}_{\widehat{X,x_0}}(\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$. With this choice of h the proof of Thm. 4.4 extends to this more general setting. The disadvantage of working in such generality though, is that we are no longer able to specify explicitly the genericity conditions on h.

5. Generalized Kleiman–Thorup Theorem

As a first application of our main theorem we strengthen a fundamental result of Kleiman and Thorup (cf. Thm. A.1 from [KT01]) which we derive as a corollary to Thm. 4.4. Let X be a local Noetherian universally catenary scheme of positive dimension. Consider the pair $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ of R-modules that are free of rank e_i at the generic point of each irreducible component X_i of X. Set $B := \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$. Let $b\colon B \to X$ be the structure morphism. Denote by B_i the irreducible component of B that surjects onto X_i and by b_i the restriction of b to B_i. Let Y be the closed set in X where $\mathcal{N}$ is not integral over $\mathcal{M}$. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ the integral closure of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{N}$.

Theorem 5.1 (Kleiman–Thorup)**.** *Assume $\mathcal{N}$ is not integral over $\mathcal{M}$. For each irreducible component Y_j of Y there exists an irreducible component X_i of X that contains Y_j and*

$$\operatorname{codim} b_i^{-1}Y_j = 1$$

in B_i.

Proof. Let Y_j be an irreducible component of Y and denote by x_0 be its generic point. Set $X' := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0})$. Because Y_j is contained properly in an irreducible component of X, then $\dim X' \geq 1$. Because Y_j is a component of $\operatorname{Supp}_X(\mathcal{N}/\overline{\mathcal{M}})$, then $x_0 \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}/\overline{\mathcal{M}})$ which in turn implies that $x_0 \in \operatorname{Ass}_{X'}(\mathcal{N}_{x_0}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{x_0})$. Since formation of integral closure and localization commute, it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{x_0} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_{x_0}}$. Thus, $x_0 \in \operatorname{Ass}_{X'}(\mathcal{N}_{x_0}/\overline{\mathcal{M}_{x_0}})$. Therefore, by Thm. 4.4 there exists a component D_j of $b^{-1}x_0$ such that $\operatorname{codim} D_j \leq 1$ in an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_{x_0}))$. However, by Prp. 4.1 (3) $\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 1$. Finally, we get $\operatorname{codim} D_j = 1$ in an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_{x_0}))$.

Suppose that this component of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_{x_0}))$ surjects onto an irreducible component X_i' of X' that maps in turn to an irreducible component X_i of X. Then $D_j \subset B_i$ and

$$\dim D_j = \dim X_i' + e_i - 2 \tag{17}$$

by Prp. 4.1 (2). Because $D_j \subset b^{-1}x_0$ and $D_j \subset B_i$, it follows that $D_j \subset b_i^{-1}x_0$. Let W_j be a component of $b_i^{-1}Y_j$ that contains D_j. Because b_i maps D_j onto x_0, then b_i maps W_j onto Y_j. The dimension formula ([Stks, Tag 02JX]) applied for the proper map b_i along with the inequality $\dim b_i^{-1}x_0 \geq \dim D_j$ yield

$$\dim W_j \geq \dim Y_j + \dim D_j. \tag{18}$$

Because X is local and catenary, then so is X_i. Thus

$$\dim X_i' = \dim X_i - \dim Y_j. \tag{19}$$

Combining (17), (18) and (19) we get

$$\dim W_j \geq \dim X_i + e_i - 2.$$

Because $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are generically free, the components of Y are properly contained in the irreducible components of X as shown in (15). But the components of B surject onto those of X, hence the components of $b^{-1}Y$ are properly contained in those of B. Therefore,

$$\dim b_i^{-1}Y_j \leq \dim X_i + e_i - 2.$$

Finally, because $W_j \subset b_i^{-1}Y_j$ we obtain $\dim b_i^{-1}Y_j = \dim X_i + e_i - 2$, or equivalently

$$\operatorname{codim} b_i^{-1}Y_j = 1$$

in B_i as desired. □

The original version of Theorem 5.1 is stated in [KT94] (see [KT00] and [KT01] for subsequent versions with slightly different hypothesis). In [KT00] it's assumed that X is irreducible universally catenary scheme, $\mathcal{N}$ is torsion-free and $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are generically equal. In [KT01] X is assumed to be equidimensional and reduced. The modules $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are assumed to be free of constant rank at e at the generic point of each component of X. Additionally, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are contained in a free module. In our treatment we allow the irreducible components of X to be of different dimensions and the ranks of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ to vary along the generic points of the irreducible components of X. Alternatively, tracing through the proof of Thm. 4.4 and Prp. 2.1 we see that we can replace the rank assumption on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ with the hypothesis that the two modules are equal at the generic point of each irreducible component of X. Finally, in [KT00] and [KT01] it's proved that $b^{-1}Y$ is of codimension one, whereas we prove that $b_i^{-1}Y_j$ is of codimension one in an irreducible component of B for each irreducible component Y_j of Y.

6. Finiteness and asymptotic stability of associated points

Let $X := \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be an affine Noetherian scheme and let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be finitely generated R-modules. In this section we prove that $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ and $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\mathcal{M}^n)$ are asymptotically stable. We derive these results as special cases of more general results (see Prps. 6.1 and 6.3 and Thm. 6.5) about finitely generated graded algebras. Our results generalize results by Rees [R81], Ratliff [Ratl84] and Brodmann [Brod79] in the the ideal case (see Scts. 7, 8 and 10 in [Sh16] for a recent historical account of these results) and results by Katz and Naude [KaN95] for modules.

If X is universally catenary and $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ satisfy the hypothesis from the beginning of Sct. 4, we give a comprehensive geometric description of $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$: if $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$, then either x is the generic point of an irreducible component of the subschemes defined by $\operatorname{Fitt}_{p_i-e_i}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M})$, or x is the generic point of an irreducible component of some $S_i(k)$ as defined in (8). Under the universally catenary hypothesis, applying Chevalley's constructability result we prove independently that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ is finite.

As demonstrated in the proof of our next result, showing that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\mathcal{M}^n)$ is finite is fairly trivial. The reason is that the modules $\mathcal{M}^n$ as n varies form a finitely generated algebra over R. Our proof relies on a modification of Ratliff's argument for the ideal case (see the beginning of Thm. 2.11 from [Ratl76]).

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be finitely generated graded R-algebras. Denote their nth graded pieces by $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n$ respecitevely. Set $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{B}_0 = R$.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume $\mathcal{A}$ is standard graded. Then*

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$$

is finite.

Proof. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k$ be the generators of $\mathcal{A}$ over R. Let t be an indeterminate and let $u := 1/t$. Consider the ring

$$\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{B}[a_1 t, \dots, a_k t, u].$$

It's a subring of $\mathcal{B}[t, u]$. Denote by $\langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$ the ideal in $\mathcal{B}$ generated by $\mathcal{A}_n$. The elements in $\mathcal{R}$ are finite sums $\sum_{-p}^{q} c_n t^n$ where $c_n \in \langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$ with the convention that $\langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle = \mathcal{B}$ for $n \leq 0$. Furthermore, u is a regular element in $\mathcal{R}$ and $u^n \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$. Because u is regular, the associated primes of u^n are the associated primes of u for each n. Hence $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ass}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}/\langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle)$ is finite (see the beginning of the proof of Thm. 2.1. in [Ratl76]).

Next, let $x \in X$ be an associated point of the R-module $\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n$ for some n. Denote the ideal of x in R by $\mathcal{I}_x$ and let $\widetilde{b_n}$ be an element of the $\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n$ such that its annihilator is equal to $\mathcal{I}_x$. Let $\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{b_n})$ be the ideal in $\mathcal{B}$ that annihilates $\widetilde{b_n}$ as an element of $\mathcal{B}/\langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{b_n}) \cap R = \mathcal{I}_x$. Because $\mathcal{I}_x$ is prime, the contraction of the radical $\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{b_n})$ to R is $\mathcal{I}_x$. However, the radical of $\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{b_n})$ is the intersection of minimal associated primes of the $\mathcal{B}$-module $\mathcal{B}/\langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$. Therefore, there exists an associated prime $Q(\widetilde{b_n})$ of $\mathcal{B}/\langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$ from $\mathcal{B}$ such that its contraction to R is I_x. But $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ass}_{\mathcal{B}}(B/\langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle)$ is finite as shown above. Therefore, there are finitely many x from X that are associated points of the quotients $\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n$. □

Suppose $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ are finitely generated R-modules with no further hypothesis. Applying Prp. 6.1 with $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ we get the following corollary.

Corollary 6.2. The set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\mathcal{M}^n)$ is finite.

Assume $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ are finitely generated standard graded R-algebras. The following result shows that the sequence of sets $\mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ as n varies is asymptotically stable.

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose $x_0 \in \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ for infinitely many n. Then $x_0 \in \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/A_n)$ for each n large enough.*

Proof. First, $x_0 \in \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ if and only if x_0 is an associated point of $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{x_0}/(\mathcal{A}_n)_{x_0}$, so we can assume that X is local with closed point x_0. Suppose $x_0 \notin \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ for infinitely many n. Because $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ is finite by Prp. 6.1, then by prime avoidance there exists h from the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ such that $h \notin \mathrm{z.div}(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ for infinitely many n. In other words, consider the map

$$\phi_h \colon \mathcal{A}/h\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}/h\mathcal{B}$$

and denote its kernel by $K(h)$. Then $K(h)_n = 0$ for infinitely many n. Let $a_1, \dots, a_s$ be generators of $\mathcal{A}/h\mathcal{A}$ as an R-algebra and let $k_1, \dots, k_l$ be generators of $K(h)$ as an ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. Select n_1 large enough so that $K(h)_{n_1} = 0$. Then $k_j a_i^{u_j(k_j)} = 0$ where $u_j(k_j)$ is an integer depending on k_j and $\deg(k_j) + u_i(k_j) = n_1$. Thus, $K(h)_n = 0$ for all large n. Therefore, for all large n if $h \in \mathrm{z.div}(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$, then there exists $b_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with $b_n \notin \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $hb_n = 0$.

Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ the ideals in $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ respectively that consist of the elements in the corresponding algebras annihilated by h. By the same token as above for n large enough we

have $[\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{B}}]_n \neq 0$. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_q$ be the generators of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and let $b_1, \ldots, b_p$ be the generators of $\mathcal{B}$ as an R-algebra. Select n_1 large enough such that $h \notin \text{z.div}(\mathcal{B}_{n_1}/\mathcal{A}_{n_1})$ and $n_1 > \deg f_i$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, q$. Then for each $i = 1, \ldots, q$ there exists a positive integer l_i with $\deg f_i + l_i = n_1$ such that

$$f_i \mathcal{B}_{l_i} \subset [\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}]_{n_1} \quad \text{and} \quad b_j [\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}]_{n_1 - 1} \subset [\mathcal{I}_A]_{n_1}. \tag{20}$$

for each $j = 1, \ldots, p$. Select n_2 such that $h \in \text{z.div}(\mathcal{B}_{n_2}/\mathcal{A}_{n_2})$ and $n_2 \gg n_1$. Then there exists $b_{n_2} \in \mathcal{B}_{n_2}$ with $b_{n_2} \notin \mathcal{A}_{n_2}$ and $h b_{n_2} = 0$, i.e. $b_{n_2} \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Write b_{n_2} as a combination of the generators f_i of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{B}}$ with coefficients from $\mathcal{B}$. Then (20) implies that $b_{n_2} \in \mathcal{A}_{n_2}$ which is a contradiction. Finally, $x_0 \in \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\mathcal{A}_n)$ for n large enough. □

Applying Prp. 6.1 and Prp. 6.3 to $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ we get the following corollary.

Corollary 6.4. There exists n_0 such that

$$\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^{n_0}/\mathcal{M}^{n_0}) = \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^{n_0+k}/\mathcal{M}^{n_0+k})$$

for any k.

The next result solves the problem about the asymptotic stability of $\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ in great generality. As a special case, we prove that the sequence $\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ is monotonic with respect to inclusion for each n. This recovers classical result due to Ratliff (see Thm. 2.4 from [Ratl84] and Prp. 6.8.8 in [SH06] for another proof that uses valuations) in the case when $\mathcal{M}$ is an ideal in R and by Katz and Naude [KaN95] when $\mathcal{N}$ is a free R-module. Our proof is based on the Determinantal Trick idea that we used in the proof of Thm. 4.4.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be finitely generated standard graded R-algebras. Denote their nth graded components by $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n$ respectively. For each positive integer n denote by $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ the integral closure of $\mathcal{A}_n$ in $\mathcal{B}_n$. Set $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} := \oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{A}_i}$ with $\overline{\mathcal{A}_0} := R$. Finally, denote by $c_{\mathcal{B}}$ the structure morphism $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{B}) \to X$.

Theorem 6.5. *Assume that $c_{\mathcal{B}}$ maps each irreducible component of* $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{B})$ *to an irreducible component of X. Then for each n*

$$\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}}).$$

Moreover, for n large enough

$$\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}) = \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}}).$$

Proof. First, we prove that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ is finite following verbatim the argument in Thm. 2.1 in [KaN95]. Let I be the ideal in $\mathcal{B}$ generated by $\mathcal{A}_1$. Then by degree considerations for each $b_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ we have: b_n is in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ if and only if b_n is in $\overline{I^n}$. Then to prove that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ is finite one needs to verify that $\text{Ass}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}/\overline{I^n})$ is finite which follows from the works of Rees [R81] and Ratliff [Ratl84].

Next, assume that for some positive integer n we have $x_0 \in \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ but $x_0 \notin \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}})$. Replace X by $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0})$. Denote the ideal of x_0 by $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$. For each l replace $\mathcal{B}_l$ and $\mathcal{A}_l$ by their stalks at x_0. Let $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0} b \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ and $b \notin \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$. Observe that $b\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0} b\mathcal{A}_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}}$. But $x_0 \notin \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}})$ by assumption. Hence $b\mathcal{A}_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}}$. Because $\mathcal{A}$ is generated as an $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$-algebra by $\mathcal{A}_1$ we get $b\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}$.

Let $c \in \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}$. We claim that $bc \in \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}$. Indeed, c satisfies an equation of integral dependence over $\mathcal{A}$

$$c^k + \alpha_1 c^{k-1} + \cdots + \alpha_k = 0$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiply both sides of the last equation by b^k, which is nonzero because b is not integral over $\mathcal{A}$, to get

$$(bc)^k + b\alpha_1(bc)^{k-1} + \cdots + b^k\alpha_k = 0.$$

Note that $b\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{A}^\dagger$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}_l$ for some $l \geq i$. Thus $b^i\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}^\dagger$. Because $\mathcal{A}^\dagger$ is integrally closed in $\mathcal{A}$ we get $bc \in \mathcal{A}^\dagger$. Set $J := \oplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\overline{\mathcal{A}_i}$. Then

$$bJ \subseteq J. \tag{21}$$

Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}}$ the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ with respect to x_0. Set

$$\mathring{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} \text{ and } \mathring{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}}.$$

Denote by $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{red}}$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{red}}$ the reductions of $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively. Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the integral closure of $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{red}}$ in $\mathring{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{red}}$. Finally, denote by $\widetilde{b}$ and $\widetilde{J}$ the images of b and J in $\mathring{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{red}}$. Because $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{red}}$ is finitely generated algebra over complete local ring, then by [Stks, Tag 0335] it follows that it is Nagata ring (see also Exercise 9.7 in [SH06]). Because $\mathring{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{red}}$ is reduced and finitely generated algebra over $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{red}}$, then by [Stks, Tag 03GH] it follows that $\mathcal{C}$ is finitely generated. But $\widetilde{J}$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{C}$, so $\widetilde{J}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{C}$-module.

Assume $\widetilde{J}$ is nonzero. Let's check that $\widetilde{J}$ is faithful. Suppose there exists $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $c\widetilde{J} = 0$. Then $c \oplus_{i>0} \mathring{\mathcal{A}}_{i\text{red}} = 0$. But c is integral over $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{red}}$. Hence $c^s = 0$ for some s. Because $\mathcal{C}$ is reduced it follows that $c = 0$, hence $\widetilde{J}$ is faithful.

By (21) we have $\widetilde{b}\widetilde{J} \subseteq \widetilde{J}$. Therefore, by the Determinantal Trick Lemma (see Lem. 2.1.8 from [SH06]) we get that $\widetilde{b}$ is integral over $\mathcal{C}$. But $\mathcal{C}$ is integrally closed. Hence $\widetilde{b} \in \mathcal{C}$. By Lem. 4.2 (1) it follows that b is in the integral closure of $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}$ in $\mathring{\mathcal{B}}$. More precisely, $b \in \overline{\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_n}$. As in the proof of Lem. 4.3 (1) by faithful flatness we have $\overline{\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_n} \cap \mathcal{B}_n = \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$. Hence $b \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ which is contradiction.

Now suppose $\widetilde{J} = 0$. Then $J = \text{nil}(\oplus_{i>0}\mathcal{B}_i)$. Because $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}b \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$, then for each $h \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ there exists u such that $(hb)^u = 0$. But b is not a nilpotent, so there exists a minimal prime of $\mathcal{B}$ that does not contain it. Thus $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ is contained in a minimal prime of $\mathcal{B}$. However, by hypothesis each minimal prime of $\mathcal{B}$ contracts to a minimal prime of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ is Artinian local ring. By assumption $x_0 \notin \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}})$. Because x_0 is the only point of X, then $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} = \overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}}$ which implies that $\mathcal{B}_1$ and hence $\mathcal{B}$ consist entirely of nilpotents. Therefore, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^\dagger$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_n = \overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ contradicting with the assumption that $x_0 \in \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$. Finally, we get $x_0 \in \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}})$.

Combining the fact that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ is finite with the monotonicity property that we just proved we get $\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}) = \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n+1}})$ for n large enough. The proof of the theorem is complete. □

Corollary 6.6. Assume $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ are finitely generated R-modules. Then for each n

$$\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}/\overline{\mathcal{M}^{n+1}}).$$

Furthermore, there exists n_0 such that

$$\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^{n_0}/\overline{\mathcal{M}^{n_0}}) = \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^{n_0+k}/\overline{\mathcal{M}^{n_0+k}})$$

for any k.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$. Prp. 4.1 (1) applied for $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{B})$ implies that $c_{\mathcal{B}}$ maps each irreducible component of $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{B})$ to an irreducible component of X. Then the statement of the corollary follows directly from Thm. 6.5. □

Denote by $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ the irreducible components of X. Assume $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ is a pair of R-modules with the additional hypothesis from the beginning of Sct. 4. As usual set $B := \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ and denote by b the structure morphism $b\colon B \to X$. Let B_i be the irreducible component of B that surjects onto X_i. Denote by b_i the restriction of b to B_i. Let $S_i(k)$ be the closed subschemes of X consisting of all points $x \in X_i$ such that $b_i^{-1}x \geq k$.

Theorem 6.7. *The following hold:*

(1) Suppose x is the generic point of X_i for some i. If $p_i > e_i$, then $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n. If $p_i = e_i$, then $x \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n.

(2) Suppose $\operatorname{codim}(\overline{\{x\}}, X_i) = 1$ for some X_i and $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$. Then x is the generic point of an irreducible component of $\mathbb{V}(\operatorname{Fitt}_{p_i-e_i}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M}))$.

In all other cases if $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for some n and X is universally catenary, then x is the generic point of an irreducible component of $S_i(\dim X_i, x + e_i - 2)$ for some i. In particular, the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ is finite.

Proof. By Lem. 4.2 we can pass to the reductions of X, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$.

Consider (1). If $p_i > e_i$, then $\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n} \simeq (\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_i})^{l_i(n)}$ where $l_i(n) = p_i(n) - e_i(n)$ is positive. Indeed, the integral closure of $(\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_i})^{e_i(n)}$ in $(\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_i})^{p_i(n)}$ is $(\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_i})^{e_i(n)}$ because X is reduced and the former module is a direct summand of the latter as observed in Prp. 2.1. If $p_i = e_i$, then $\mathcal{N}^n_{\eta_i} = \overline{\mathcal{M}^n_{\eta_i}}$ for each n. This proves (1).

Consider (2). Suppose that $x \notin \mathbb{V}(\operatorname{Fitt}_{p_i-e_i}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M}))$. Then the module $\mathcal{N}^n_x/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n_x}$ equals to the quotient of $(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})^{l_i(n)}/\mathcal{L}_n$ for some $\mathcal{L}_n$. However, locally at each η_j such that $\overline{\{x\}} \subset \overline{\{\eta_j\}}$ we have $\mathcal{N}^n_{\eta_j}/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n_{\eta_j}} \simeq (\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_j})^{l_i(n)}$. Therefore, because X, x is reduced and $\mathcal{L}_n$ is contained in a free $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$-module we get $\mathcal{N}^n_x/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n_x} = (\mathcal{O}_{X,x})^{l_i(n)}$. Because $x \neq \eta_i$ for each i, then x must be an embedded point of X. But x is not an embedded point because X is reduced. Hence $x \in \mathbb{V}(\operatorname{Fitt}_{p_i-e_i}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M}))$. Also, $\mathbb{V}(\operatorname{Fitt}_{p_i-e_i}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M}))$ does not contain η_i as we saw in the proof of Prp. 2.1. Thus x is the generic point of an irreducible component of the subscheme defined by the Fitting ideal of codimension one in X_i.

Next, suppose that $\operatorname{codim}(\overline{\{x\}}, X_i) > 1$ for some i and $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for some n. By Thm. 4.4 and Prp. 4.1 (2) and (3) there exists i such that $\dim b_i^{-1}x = \dim X_i, x + e_i - 2$. Suppose there exists $y \in X_i$ such that $\overline{\{x\}} \subset \overline{\{y\}}$ and $y \in S_i(\dim X_i, x + e_i - 2)$. Note that y can not be the generic point of X_i for otherwise $y \in S_i(e_i - 1)$ and hence $\dim X_i, x = 1$ which contradicts with our assumption. Thus X_i, y is of positive dimension. By Prp. 4.1 (3)

$$\dim b_i^{-1}y \leq \dim X_i, y + e_i - 2.$$

Therefore, we must have $\dim X_i, y \geq \dim X_i, x$. But this is impossible because $\overline{\{x\}} \subset \overline{\{y\}}$ and hence $\dim X_i, x > \dim X_i, y$. This proves that x is the generic point of an irreducible component of $S_i(\dim X_i, x + e_i - 2)$ for some i. The sets $S_i(k)$ are closed in X by Chevalley's theorem and finitely many because B is of finite type over a Noetherian scheme; hence it is Noetherian. Therefore, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{N}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ is a finite set. □

When $\mathcal{N}$ is free we prove a converse of Thm. 6.7 in Thm. 7.5.

7. A converse to the main result

In many geometric situations appearing in singularity theory and multiplicity theory one works with an embedding of $\mathcal{M}$ in a free module $\mathcal{F}$, so it's natural to try to characterize the associated primes of the quotients $\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$.

Assume X is Noetherian scheme and denote by $X_1, \dots, X_r$ its irreducible components. In this section we will assume that $\mathcal{M}$ has rank e_i at the generic point of X_i. This is a natural assumption because when it comes to classifying the points in $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$, by Lem. 4.3, we can pass to the reductions of $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ noting that the image of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{red}}}$ is free at the generic point of each $(X_i)_{\text{red}}$.

We begin with Thm. 7.1 which is the main result of this section. It provides a converse to Thm. 4.4 in the case when $\mathcal{F}$ is free. In Prp. 7.3 we prove that if X is a curve and $x_0 \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathcal{M}})$, then $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ share a free direct summand of maximal rank. It's a result that we will use on several occasions later in the section. As a first application of Thm. 7.1 we prove in Cor. 7.4 a partial converse to the Kleiman–Thorup theorem, which can be viewed as a criterion for nonintegrality of modules.

Another important application of the converse is Thm. 7.5: we prove a converse to Thm. 6.7 with no additional assumptions on e_i and the rank of $\mathcal{F}$. Our result generalizes results by Rees [R87], Katz and Rice (see Thm. 3.5.1 in [KaR08]) for the case $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and by Burch [Bur68] for the ideal case (cf. Thm. 5.4.7 in [SH06]). We conclude this section with an example arising from geometry for which $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ consists entirely of the generic points of X_i for each $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Let X be a local scheme with closed point x_0 and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be finitely generated graded $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$-algebras. Denote their graded pieces by $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n$, respectively. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n}$ the integral closure of $\mathcal{A}_n$ in $\mathcal{B}_n$. Set $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{A}_i}$ with $\overline{\mathcal{A}_0} := \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$. Assume $\mathcal{B}$ is polynomial. Denote by $c_{\mathcal{A}}$ the structure map $c_{\mathcal{A}} : \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}) \to X$.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose that* $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ *is finite. Assume that* $\dim X \geq 2$ *and* $x_0 \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ *for* n *large enough. Then for each component* D_j *of* $c_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(x_0)$ *we have*

$$\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 2$$

in each irreducible component of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ *that contains* D_j.

Proof. Because $x_0 \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ for each n, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ is finite by assumption, and X is local scheme of positive dimension, by prime avoidance we can select h_1 from the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ such that $h_1 \notin \text{z.div}(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ for n large enough and h_1 avoids the minimal primes of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$. Denote by H_1 the subscheme of zeroes of h_1 in X. Because $\mathcal{B}$ is polynomial $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{B}) = X \times \mathbb{P}^l$ for some l. Denote by $c_{\mathcal{B}}$ the structure morphism $c_{\mathcal{B}} \colon \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{B}) \to X$ which in this case is simply projection from $X \times \mathbb{P}^l$ on the first factor.

Because H_1 cuts properly the irreducible components of X, then by Krull's height theorem each irreducible component of H_1 is of codimension one in each irreducible component of X that contains it. But $c_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(H_1) = H_1 \times \mathbb{P}^l$ and hence each irreducible component of $c_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(H_1)$ is of codimension one in the irreducible components of $X \times \mathbb{P}^l$ that contain it. The associated primes of $\mathcal{A}$ are contractions of the associated primes of $\mathcal{B}$ which in turn are extensions of the associated primes of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$. Because h_1 avoids the minimal primes of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$, then h_1 avoids the minimal primes of $\mathcal{A}$. By Krull's height theorem each irreducible component of $c_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(H_1)$ is of codimension one in each irreducible component of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ that contains it. Consider the map

$$\psi_{h_1} \colon \mathcal{A}/h_1\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}/h_1\mathcal{B}.$$

Set $\mathcal{A}(h_1) := \operatorname{Im} \psi_{h_1}$. Observe that $\operatorname{Ker} \psi_{h_1} = (h_1\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{A})/h_1\mathcal{A}$. By hypothesis $h_1 \notin \text{z.div}(\mathcal{B}_n/\overline{\mathcal{A}_n})$ for n large enough. If $b \in \mathcal{B}_k$ with $h_1 b \in \mathcal{A}_k$ for some k, then for each l we have $h_1^l b^l \in \mathcal{A}_{kl}$. But for l large enough we have $h_1 \notin \text{z.div}(\mathcal{B}_{lk}/\overline{\mathcal{A}_{lk}})$, so $b^l \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_{kl}}$. Then

$$(b^l)^s + a_1(b^l)^{s-1} + \cdots + a_s = 0$$

for $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_{kli}$. Multiplying both sides of the last equation by $(h_1)^{ls}$ we get

$$(h_1 b)^{ls} + h_1^l a_1 (h_1 b)^{l(s-1)} + \cdots + h_1^{ls} a_s = 0.$$

Therefore, $(h_1 b)^{ls} = 0$ in $\mathcal{A}/h_1\mathcal{A}$ because $h_1^{li} a_i (h_1 b)^{l(s-i)} \in h_1\mathcal{A}$ for each $i \geq 1$. Thus, $\operatorname{Ker} \psi_{h_1}$ consists of nilpotents, so $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}/h_1\mathcal{A})$ and $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}(h_1))$ have the same reduced structures.

Because the minimal primes of the ideal generated by h_1 are of height one in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$, then by Krull's height theorem, prime avoidance and the assumption that $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}) \geq 2$ we can select h_2 from $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ so that it avoids the minimal primes of $\mathcal{O}_{H_1,x_0}$. Denote the subscheme of zeroes of h_2 in H_1 by H_2 and by $c_{\mathcal{A}(h_1)} \colon \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}(h_1)) \to H_1$ the structure morphism. Again, because $\mathcal{A}(h_1)$ is a subalgebra of the polynomial ring $\mathcal{B}/h_1\mathcal{B}$, its minimal primes are contraction of those of $\mathcal{B}/h_1\mathcal{B}$ which in turn are extensions of the minimal primes of $\mathcal{O}_{H_1,x_0}$. Hence by Krull's height theorem each irreducible component of $c^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}(h_1)}(H_2)$ is of codimension one in an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}(h_1))$ that contains it. However, $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}(h_1))$ and $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}/h_1\mathcal{A})$ have the same reduced structures. Therefore, each irreducible component of $c^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}/h_1\mathcal{A}}(H_2)$ is of codimension at 1 in each irreducible component of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}/h_1\mathcal{A})$ that contains it. But $c^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_0) \subset c^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}/h_1\mathcal{A}}(H_2)$ set-theoretically. Hence for a component D_j of $c^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_0)$ we have $\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 2$ in each irreducible component of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ that contains D_j. □

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is free. Applying Thm. 7.1 and Thm. 2.1 from [KaN95] with $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{B} := \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{F})$ we get the converse statement of Thm. 4.4 with $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{F}$.

Corollary 7.2. Adopt the setup of Thm. 4.4. Assume X is local Noetherian scheme with closed point x_0 and $\dim X \geq 2$. Suppose $x_0 \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for n large enough. Then for each component D_j of $b^{-1}x_0$ we have

$$\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 2$$

in each irreducible component of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ that contains D_j.

The following result is a criterion for a point x_0 from X to be an associated point of $\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ when $\dim X, x_0 = 1$. Set $e = \max_{i=1}^r \{e_i\}$ and $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = p$.

Proposition 7.3. *Assume X is local scheme with closed point x_0 and $\dim X = 1$. Assume that $x_0 \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathcal{M}})$. Then $e_i = e$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and there exists a free module G of rank e that is a direct summand of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{F}$.*

If X is reduced or $p = e$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is free of rank e and a direct summand of $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let t be an element from the maximal ideal of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ that avoids its minimal primes. The inclusion $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$ induces a finite map $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) \to \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ which gives rise to a finite map $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})/t\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) \to \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})/t\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$. But by Prp. 4.1 (3) and the fact that X is universally catenary because $\dim X = 1$ (see Cor. 2 to Thm. 31.7 from [Mat87]) we have $\dim \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})/t\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})) = e - 1$. Hence

$$\dim \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})/t\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})) = e - 1. \tag{22}$$

By hypothesis $x_0 \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathcal{M}})$. Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}/t\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ injects into $\mathcal{F}/t\mathcal{F}$. Thus $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})/t\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$ is a subalgebra generated in degree one of a polynomial algebra over the Artinian ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}/t\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$. Consider the reduced structures of $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{F}/t\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})/t\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$. Because $(\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})/t\mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}))_{\operatorname{red}}$ is generated in degree one inside a polynomial ring over the residue field $k(x_0)$ and because of (22) it follows that there exists e elements in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ whose images in $\mathcal{F}/\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}\mathcal{F}$

are linearly independent. Thus, by Nakayama's lemma there exist a free module G of rank e and $H \subset \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}\mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = G \oplus H \text{ and } \mathcal{F} = G \oplus \mathcal{F}_1$$

where $\mathcal{F}_1$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$-module. Thus, $\dim(\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \otimes k(\eta_i) \leq p - e$. However, $\mathcal{F}_{\eta_i}/\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\eta_i}} = k(\eta_i)^{p-e_i}$. Therefore, $e_i = e$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. In particular, $H_{\eta_i} = 0$ for each i. But H is contained in a free $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$-module. Thus, $H \subset \text{nil}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0})\mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, $\text{nil}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0})\mathcal{F} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}$. Hence $H = \text{nil}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0})\mathcal{F}$. Because each element of G is integral over $\mathcal{M}$, then G must be a direct summand of $\mathcal{M}$ as well (cf. Lem. 2.4.1 in [KaR08]).

If $p = e$, then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{F}$. If X is reduced, then $H = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{M} = G$. Thus $\mathcal{M}$ is free of rank e and direct summand of $\mathcal{F}$. □

Let X be a local, Noetherian reduced scheme. Consider the nested chain of modules $\mathcal{M} \subsetneq \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is free and $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are locally free of the same rank e_i at the generic point of each irreducible component X_i of X. For $x \in X$, let e_x be the maximal e_i where i runs through all indices for which $x \in X_i$. Denote by Z the union of the closed sets $\mathbb{V}(\text{Fitt}_{p-e_i}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{M}))$. Identify the Rees algebras $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$ with their images in $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{F})$. For each n denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ the integral closure of $\mathcal{M}^n$ in $\mathcal{F}^n$. For each closed set W of X set

$$\mathcal{M}^n(W) := \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{M}^n :_{\mathcal{F}^n} \mathcal{I}_W^l)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_W$ is the ideal of W in $\mathcal{O}_X$. Denote by n_0 the smallest integer for which

$$\text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^{n_0}/\overline{\mathcal{M}^{n_0}}) = \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^{n_0+k}/\overline{\mathcal{M}^{n_0+k}})$$

for every k. Its existence is guaranteed by Cor. 6.6. Finally, set $B := \text{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}))$ and denote by b the structure morphism $b\colon B \to X$. For this setup we can use Thm. 7.1 to get a converse to Thm. 5.1.

Corollary 7.4. Let Z_1 be an irreducible component of Z with generic point x_0. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}^n$ contains $\mathcal{M}^n(Z_1)$ for some $n > n_0$. We have:

(1) If $\text{codim}(Z_1, X_j) = 1$ for all X_j that contain Z_1 and $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ do not share a free direct summand of rank e_{x_0}, then $\mathcal{N}$ is not integral over $\mathcal{M}$.
(2) If $\text{codim}(Z_1, X_j) \neq 1$ for some X_j that contains Z_1 and $\dim b^{-1}x_0 = \dim X_i, x_0 + e_i - 2$ for some X_i that contains x_0, then $\mathcal{N}$ is not integral over $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. First note that $\text{Supp}_{X,x_0}(\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}/\mathcal{M}^n) = x_0$. Indeed, if $y \in X, x_0$ with $y \neq x_0$, then $\mathcal{M}_y$ is free and direct summand of $\mathcal{F}_y$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_y$ is integrally closed because X is reduced.

Consider (1). By assumption $\text{codim}(Z_1, X_j) = 1$ for all X_j that contain Z_1. Thus X, x_0 is of pure dimension one. Replace X with X, x_0 and replace $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with their stalks at x_0. By Prp. 7.3 and our assumptions $x_0 \in \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathcal{M}})$. By Cor. 6.6 $x_0 \in \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n. Then $\mathcal{M}^n(x_0)$ contains $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ for each n. Because $\mathcal{N}^n$ contains $\mathcal{M}^n(x_0)$ for some n by hypothesis, it follows that $\mathcal{N}$ is not integral over $\mathcal{M}$.

Consider (2). By assumption

$$\dim b^{-1}x_0 = \dim X_i, x_0 + e_i - 2. \tag{23}$$

Replace X and X_i with X, x_0 and X_i, x_0. Further, replace $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with their stalks at x_0. By assumption $\dim X, x_0 \geq 2$ because x_0 can not be a generic point of an irreducible component of X. Let $n > n_0$ be such that $\mathcal{N}^n$ contains $\mathcal{M}^n(x_0)$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n} \subset \mathcal{N}^n$. Assume $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n} = \mathcal{N}^n$. Then $x_0 \notin \text{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n large enough. Hence by Thm. 7.1 for each

irreducible component D_j of $b_i^{-1}x_0$ we have $\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 2$ in each irreducible component of B that contains D_j; hence $\dim b^{-1}x_0 \leq \dim X_i, x_0 + e_i - 3$ contradicting (23). Thus $\overline{\mathcal{M}^n} \neq \mathcal{N}^n$ and hence $\mathcal{N}$ is not integral over $\mathcal{M}$. □

Of course, if one wants to keep the analogy with Thm. 5.1, then in Cor. 7.4 (2) we can replace the hypothesis with $\dim b^{-1}Z_1 = \dim X_i + e_i - 2$ provided that X is catenary.

Next, assume $\mathcal{F}$ has rank p and $\mathcal{M}$ has rank e_i at the generic point of each irreducible component X_i of X. As above, for $x \in X$, let e_x be the maximal e_i where i runs through all indices for which $x \in X_i$. Set $B := \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M})$. For each irreducible component B_i of B define the closed sets $S_i(k)$ as in (8). The following result generalizes results by Rees [R87], Katz and Rice (see Thm. 3.5.1 in [KaR08]) and Burch [Bur68] in the ideal case. Along with Cor. 4.5 it provides complete discription of the associated points of $\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$.

Theorem 7.5. *The following hold:*

1. *If x is the generic point of X_i for some i and $p \neq e_i$, then $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n.*
2. *If $\dim X, x = 1$, and $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ do not share a direct free summand of rank e_x, then $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n.*
3. *If $\dim X, x \geq 2$ and x is the generic point of an irreducible component of $S_i(\dim X_i, x + e_i - 2)$ for some i, then $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for n large enough.*

Proof. We have $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ if and only if $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_{X,x}(\mathcal{F}_x^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}_x^n})$. So assume X is local with closed point x. Replace $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with their stalks at x.

Part (1) is Thm. 6.7 (1). Consider (2). By Prp. 7.3 $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_{X,x}(\mathcal{F}_x/\overline{\mathcal{M}_x})$, so by Cor. 6.6 $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n.

Consider (3). Suppose $x \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for n large enough. By Thm. 2.1 in [KaN95] (see also Cor. 6.6) the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ is finite. Apply Thm. 7.1 with $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{B} := \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{F})$. Note that by Prp. 4.1 (2) we have $\dim B_i = \dim X_i, x + e - 1$. Then for each i and each component D_j of $b_i^{-1}x$ we must have $\dim D_j \leq \dim X_i, x + e - 3$ which contradicts with the assumption that $x \in S_i(\dim X_i, x + e - 2)$ for some i. □

The following corollary characterizes all embeddings of $\mathcal{M}$ into a free module $\mathcal{F}$ for which the points appearing in $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ are pricesely the generic points of the irreducible components of $S_i(k)$.

Corollary 7.6. Assume $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is an embedding of $\mathcal{M}$ into a free module $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{V}(\operatorname{Fitt}_{p-e_i}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{M}))$ does not have codimension one components in each irreducible component of X for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n large enough if and only if x is the generic point of an irreducible component of $S_i(\dim X_i, x + e - 2)$ for some i.

Proof. Follows directly from Thm. 6.7 and Thm. 7.5. □

Assume $\mathcal{M}$ is free of rank e at the generic point of each irreducible component of X. Set $Z := \mathbb{V}(\operatorname{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{M}))$. Denote by C the set of the generic points of the irreducible components of X. In general, if the rank of the free module $\mathcal{F}$ is strictly greater than e, it's entirely possible that the set $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ consists of points from C only as demonstrated by the next proposition.

Proposition 7.7. *Assume X is a local universally catenary scheme with closed point x_0. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$-modules such that $\mathcal{F}$ is free of rank p and $\mathcal{M}$ is free of rank e with $p > e$ at each point $x \neq x_0$. Furthermore, suppose Z does not contain points x with $\dim X_i, x = 1$ for*

some i. Finally, suppose x_0 is not associated point of X and for a component D_j of $b^{-1}x_0$ assume that

$$\operatorname{codim} D_j \geq 2$$

in an irreducible component of B that contains D_j. Then for each n the set $\operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ is a subset of C with equality if Z is supported at x_0.

Proof. Assume $x \neq \eta_i$ and $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for some n. Note that $x \neq x_0$ because by Thm. 4.4 $x_0 \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n. Then by Thm. 6.7 (2) $x \in Z$. Because Z does not contain the generic point of an irreducible component of X and points y such that $\dim X_i, y = 1$, then $\dim X_i, x \geq 2$ for some i. By asumption $\mathcal{M}_x$ is free of rank e. Hence $\dim b_i^{-1}x = e - 1$, whereas $\dim X_i, x + e - 2 \geq e$. Therefore, $x \notin S_i(\dim X_i, x + e - 2)$ for each X_i that contains x. Hence, by Thm. 7.5 $x \notin \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for each n.

If $x = \eta_i$ for some i, then $x \in \operatorname{Ass}_X(\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n})$ for all n by Thm. 6.7 (1) because $p > e$. Finally, assume that Z is supported at x_0. A point x from X is an associated point of $\mathcal{F}^n/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n}$ if and only if x is an associated point of $\mathcal{F}^n_x/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n_x}$. Because $x \neq x_0$ we have $\mathcal{F}^n_x/\overline{\mathcal{M}^n_x} \simeq (\mathcal{O}_{X,x})^l_{\text{red}}$ for some l because by assumption $\mathcal{M}$ is locally a direct summand of $\mathcal{F}$ off x_0. But x is an associated point of $(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})^l_{\text{red}}$ if and only if x is the generic point of X_i for some i which yields the desired result. □

Nontrivial examples of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ that satisfy the assumptions of Prp. 7.7 appear quite naturally in geometry. Consider a smooth complex projective variety $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ with deficient dual, i.e. dual that has smaller dimension than the expected one, or in this case smaller than $N - 1$. Consider the affine cone over it. Denote by X the germ of the cone at its vertex and denote the vertex by x_0. Since the base of X is deficient, X is not a complete intersection. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ be the defining equations of X in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the Jacobian module of X - that is the $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$-module spanned by the columns of the Jacobian matrix. It sits inside a free module $\mathcal{F}$ or rank p. Because X has an isolated singularity, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{F}$ of rank e locally off the vertex of the cone. Because X is not a complete intersection we have $p > e$. Finally, because the base of the cone has deficient dual, then the conormal space of X, which is $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M})$, has fiber over the vertex of dimension less than expected (c.f. Sct. 1.5 in [KT00]). This bound in our setup translates to $\dim b^{-1}x_0 < \dim X + e - 2$.

References

[Brod79] Brodmann, M., *Assymptotic stability of* $\operatorname{Ass}(M/I^nM)$, Proceedings of the AMS, **74** (1979), no.2, 16–18.

[BV03] Bruns, W, and Vasconcelos, W., *Minors of symmetric and exterior powers,* Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **179** (2003), 235–240.

[Bur68] Burch, L., *On ideals of finite homological dimension in local rings,* Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., **64** (1968), 941–948.

[ELS01] Ein, L., Lazarsfeld, R., Smith, C., *Uniform bounds and symbolic powers on smooth varieties,* Invent. Math. **144** (2001), 241–252.

[EHU03] Eisenbud, D., Huneke, C., and Ulrich, B., *What is the Rees algebra of a module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **131** (2003), no. 3, 701–708.

[GR] Gaffney, T., Rangachev, A., *An application of Bertini's theorem for extreme morphisms to Thom's A_f condition*, (work in progress).

[GR16] Gaffney, R., Rangachev, A., *Pairs of modules and determinantal isolated singularities,* arXiv:1501.00201v2 [math.CV].

[Gaf08] Gaffney, T., *The Multiplicity Polar Theorem and isolated singularities,* J. Alg. Geom., **18** (2009), 547–574.

[GK99] Gaffney, T., Kleiman, S., *Specialization of integral dependence for modules,* Invent. Math., **137** (1999), 541–574.

[Gaf96] Gaffney, T., *Multiplicities and equsingularity of ICIS germs*, Invent. Math., **123** (1996), 209-220.
[Gaf92] Gaffney, T., *Integral closure of modules and Whitney equisingularity*, Invent. Math., **107** (1992), 301-322.
[EGAIV] Grothendieck, A., and Dieudonné, J., "EGA IV. Étude globale locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas," Troisieme partie, (1966).
[SUV01] Simis, A., Ulrich, B., Vasconcelos, W., *Codimension, multiplicy and integral extensions,* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **130** (2001), 237–257.
[KaN95] Katz, D., Naude, C., *Prime ideals associated to symmetric powers of a module,* Comm. in Algebra, **23**(12) (1995), 4549–4555.
[KaR08] Katz, D, Rice, G., *Asymptotic prime divisors of torsion-free symmetric powers of a module,* Journal of Algebra, **319** (2008), 2209-2234.
[KT01] Kleiman, S., Thorup, A.,*The exceptional fiber of a generalized conormal space*, an appendix to Gaffney, T., *The theory of integral closure of ideals and modules: applications and new developments.* NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., **21**, New developments in singularity theory (Cambridge, 2000), 379–404, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
[KT00] Kleiman, S., and Thorup, A., *Conormal geometry of maximal minors,* J. Algebra **230** (2000), 204 – 221.
[KT94] Kleiman, S., Thorup, A., *A geometric theory of the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity,* J. Algebra **167** (1994), 168-231.
[Mat87] Matsumura, H., "Commutative ring theory," Cambridge University Press, 1987.
[McA83] McAdam, S., "Asymptotic Prime Divisors," Lecture Notes in Math., **1023**, Berlin, SpringerVerlag, 1983.
[McA80] McAdam, S., *Asymptotic prime divisors and analytic spread*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **90** (1980), 555–559.
[McE79] McAdam S., Eakin P., *The asymptotic Ass,* J. Algebra, **61** (1979), 71-81.
[Ran16] Rangachev, A., *Local volumes and equisingularity theory*, to appear.
[Ratl84] Ratliff, L. J. Jr., *On asymptotic prime divisors,* Pacific J. Math., **111** (1984) 395-413.
[Ratl83] Ratliff, L. J. Jr., *A brief survey and history of asymptotic prime divisors,* Rocky Mountain J. Math., **13** (1983), no. 3, 437-459.
[Ratl76] Ratliff, L. J. Jr., *On prime divisors of I^n, n large,* Michigan Math. J.,**23** (1976), 337–352.
[RK94] Rees, D., Kirby, D., *Multiplicities in graded rings I: The general theory,* Proceedings of the AMS-IMS-SIAM Summer Research Conference on Commutative Algebra, Mount Holyoke College, Contemporary Mathematics, **159** (1994), 209–268.
[R89] Rees, D., *Gaffney's problem*, Manuscript dated Feb. 22 1989.
[R87] Rees, D., *Reduction of modules*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **101** (1987), 431–449.
[R81] Rees, D., *Rings associated with ideals and analytic spread,* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **89** (1981), 423-432.
[R56] Rees, D., *Valuations associated with ideals*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **6**, (1956), 161–174.
[Stks] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, `http://stacks.math.columbia.edu`, 2016.
[SH06] Swanson, I., and Huneke, C., "Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules," London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 336, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
[Sh16] Sharp, R., *David Rees, FRS 1918–2013,* Bull. London Math. Soc., **48** (3) (2016), 557–576.
[S97] Swanson, I., *Powers of ideals: primary decompositions, Artin-Rees lemma and regularity,* Math. Annalen, **307** (1997), 299–313.
[ZS60] Zariski, O., Samuel, P., "Commutative algebra. Vol. II." The University Series in Higher Mathematics. D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, NJ.TorontoLondonNew York, 1960.

(Rangachev), Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02215, Institute of Mathematics, and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,, Akad. G. Bonchev, Sofia 1113, Bulgaria